Email: sahile@gci.net

## SOUTHCENTRAL FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING

## PUBLIC MEETING

Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association Building Anchorage, Alaska February 13, 2017 9:00 a.m.

## COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

Greg Encelewski, Chairman
Judy Caminer
Tom Carpenter
Eleanor Dementi
Ricky Gease
Ed Holsten
Andrew McLaughlin
Michael Opheim
Diane Selanoff
Dan Stevens
Gloria Stickwan

Regional Council Coordinator, Donald Mike

Recorded and transcribed by:

Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC 135 Christensen Drive, Suite 2 Anchorage, AK 99501 907-243-0668/sahile@gci.net

Phone: 907-243-0668

```
Page 2
                      PROCEEDINGS
 2
 3
                 (Anchorage, Alaska - 2/13/2017)
 4
 5
                     (On record)
 6
 7
                     MR. MIKE: I just want to briefly have
     a quick introduction of who is online. If you could
 8
 9
     introduce your name and which organization you
     represent, please do so now.
10
11
                     Thank you.
12
13
14
                     MR. ANDERSON: Good morning, Donald.
     Jeff Anderson, Fish and Wildlife Service.
15
16
17
                     MR. MIKE:
                                Good morning, Jeff.
18
19
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Patricia Phillips,
     Pelican, Alaska.
20
21
2.2
                     MR. MIKE:
                                Anyone else.
23
24
                     (No comments)
25
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair, that's it.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
28
                                           Okay.
                                                  Welcome,
29
                Sorry for the delay. We had technical
     everyone.
     issues. We're ready to go now. I'm going to go ahead
30
     and call the Southcentral Region Advisory Council to
31
32
     order and it's February 13, 2017. We would like to
     have someone give an invocation here. Gloria, would
33
     you like to give us a little invocation to start with.
34
35
                     (Invocation)
36
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
                                           Thank you,
39
     Gloria. Would the Secretary call the roll here and
     we'll establish a quorum.
40
41
42
                     MS. CAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
     This is Judy Caminer. Ed Holsten.
43
44
45
                     MR. HOLSTEN:
                                   Here.
46
47
                     MS. CAMINER:
                                   Eleanor Dementi.
48
49
                     MS. DEMENTI:
                                   Here.
50
```

_			
		I	Page 3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7		MS. CAMINER: Greg Encelewski.	
		CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Here.	
5		MS. CAMINER: Diane Selanoff.	
7 8 9		MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair. Diane Selanoff n in Valdez and she will call in he has to run to her office.	
11		Thank you, Mr. Chair.	
12 13		MS. CAMINER: Dan Stevens.	
14 15		MR. STEVENS: Here.	
16 17		MS. CAMINER: Gloria Stickwan.	
18 19		MS. STICKWAN: Here.	
20 21		MS. CAMINER: James Showalter.	
22 23 24 25 26	indicated that be available on	MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair. Mr. Showalter he may not be here today, but he might line.	
27		Thank you.	
28 29		MS. CAMINER: Michael Opheim.	
30 31		MR. OPHEIM: Here.	
32 33		MS. CAMINER: Andy McLaughlin.	
34 35		MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Here.	
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	Peterson.	MS. CAMINER: I am here. Ingrid	
		MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair. Ms. Ingrid respond to my inquiries of her coming. She did not respond to any of my	
45 46		Thank you, Mr. Chair.	
47		So she's not present.	
48 49 50		MS. CAMINER: Tom Carpenter.	

```
Page 4
                     MR. CARPENTER: Here.
 2
 3
                     MS. CAMINER: And Ricky Gease.
 4
 5
                     MR. GEASE: Here.
 6
 7
                     MS. CAMINER: So it appears we have a
 8
     quorum.
9
10
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Judy.
     We do have a quorum, so we will conduct and we will
11
     establish our business. We're going to start with
12
     welcoming everyone here today. We'll start by
13
14
     introductions with the Council and we'll go around the
     table.
15
16
17
                     We'll start with you.
18
19
                     MR. STEVENS: I'm Daniel Stevens.
                                                         I'm
     from Chitina.
20
21
                     MS. DEMENTI:
                                   I'm Eleanor Dementi.
2.2
                                                          I'm
     from Cantwell.
23
24
                     MR. HOLSTEN:
                                   I'm Ed Holsten. I'm from
25
     Cooper Landing.
26
27
28
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN:
                                      Andy McLaughlin from
29
     Chenega Bay.
30
                                    Judy Caminer, Anchorage.
31
                     MS. CAMINER:
32
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
33
                                            I'm Greq
     Encelewski. I'm from Ninilchik.
34
35
                     MS. STICKWAN: Gloria Stickwan from
36
     Tazlina.
37
38
39
                     MR. CARPENTER:
                                     Tom Carpenter, Cordova.
40
                     MR. OPHEIM: Michael Opheim, Seldovia.
41
42
                     MR. GEASE: Ricky Gease, Kenai.
43
44
                     MR. MIKE: Donald Mike, Office of
45
46
     Subsistence Management.
47
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: That's Tina there
48
49
     doing all the hard work. How about we go through
50
```

Phone: 907-243-0668

Page 5 everyone here present today. We'll start with -- how 2 about you, there. 3 4 MR. LIND: Good morning. Orville Lind. 5 I'm from the Office of Subsistence Management. I'm the Native liaison. Good morning. 6 7 MR. EVANS: Good morning. 8 I'm Tom 9 Evans. I'm a wildlife biologist for the Southcentral 10 RAC. 11 MS. PERRY: Good morning, everyone. 12 13 My name is DeAnna Perry. I'm with the Forest Welcome. Service and I'm the Southeast Council Coordinator. 14 15 16 MR. HANKINS: Jesse Hankins, BLM 17 Glennallen wildlife biologist. 18 19 MR. I. ENCELEWSKI: Ivan Encelewski from Ninilchik. 20 21 2.2 MS. SMELCER: Shirley Smelcer, CRITR. 23 Welcome. Ahtna. 24 MR. GENE: Christopher Gene, Chair for 25 Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission. 26 27 28 MS. CRAVER: Amy Craver, subsistence 29 manager for Denali National Park and Preserve. 30 31 MS. GRAHAM: Good morning. Marnie 32 Graham from the BLM Glennallen Field Office, Associate Field Manager. 33 34 35 MS. CELLARIUS: Barbara Cellarius, Subsistence Coordinator for Wrangell-St. Elias National 36 Park and Preserve from Copper Center. 37 38 39 MR. DEWITT: Good morning. My name is Neil DeWitt. I'm just general public here sitting in 40 listening. 41 42 MR. WHITFORD: Good morning. 43 My name 44 is Tom Whitford. I'm the subsistence program leader for the Forest Service and a member of the Interagency 45 Staff Committee. 46 47 MS. PETRIVELLI: I'm Pat Petrivelli 48 with BIA, subsistence anthropologist, and I work out of 49 50

Phone: 907-243-0668

Page 6 Anchorage. 2 MR. SKORKOWSKY: Good morning. 3 4 Skorkowsky. I'm with the Chugach National Forest, the 5 District Ranger in Cordova. 6 7 MR. COGSWELL: Good morning. Stewart Cogswell. I work at the Office of Subsistence 8 9 Management. 10 Good morning. Carl MR. JOHNSON: 11 Johnson, Office of Subsistence Management. Good to see 12 you all. 13 14 MS. LAVINE: Good morning. 15 I'm Robbin LaVine, anthropologist with the Office of Subsistence 16 17 Management. 18 19 MR. REAM: Good morning. I'm Joshua Ream, also an anthropologist for the Office of 20 Subsistence Management. 21 2.2 MR. HARRIS: And good morning. 23 24 Scott Harris, a fisheries biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management. 25 26 27 MS. LINNELL: I'm Karen Linnell. I'm the Executive Director for the Ahtna Intertribal 28 Resource Commission. 29 30 MR. PELTOLA, JR.: Good morning. 31 32 Welcome all. Gene Peltola, Jr. I'm the Assistant Regional Director for the Office of Subsistence 33 Management. 34 35 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you and 36 welcome everyone. Hopefully it will be a very 37 productive meeting. It looks short, but when you see 38 39 these short agendas, you generally run into a lot of trouble. We'll try and stay on task. 40 41 What I'd like you to do is review and 42 adopt the agenda. Before we do that I'd like to point 43 44 out a couple things to the Council Members. I'd like to add, if I may, that we do have under reports, under 45 the Chair's report, there will be a coordinator's 46 report and that could just be added under 8. We're 47

going to have a brief meeting of just the Council at

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

noon just for your information.

48

Page 7 Under 10, under old business, the revision to the Draft MOU with the State of Alaska, 2 that's actually going to be moved down and be taken up 3 4 by the OSM under our fishery reports or subsistence 5 reports rather. 6 7 That will also be where we've got a call for proposals review of 2016, just between there 8 9 and the wildlife proposals. Jennifer Hardin is going to give us one on 17-01 that will be added to the 10 There will be a report on that. At least agenda. 11 that's what I've been instructed to make sure I don't 12 miss. 13 14 Go ahead, Donald. 15 16 17 MR. MIKE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Donald Mike. Under old business I'd like to add item 18 number (c) for fisheries update and that's where 19 Fisheries Special Action 17-01 would fall under. 20 21 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 2.2 23 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: You're welcome, 24 It was on my list here, but I'm just checking 25 Donald. Good. Okay. It's up to the Council. 26 on you. 27 28 MR. CARPENTER: Mr. Chair. I move we adopt the agenda as modified. 29 30 We have a motion 31 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: 32 to adopt as modified. Is there a second. 33 34 MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Second. 35 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Seconded by Andy. 36 Open for discussion. 37 38 39 (No comments) 40 41 MR. CARPENTER: Ouestion. 42 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: The question has 43 44 been called for. All in favor of the agenda as adopted 45 say aye. 46 47 IN UNISON: Aye. 48 49 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any opposed. 50

Phone: 907-243-0668

```
Page 8
                     (No opposing votes)
 2
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Passes
 3
 4
     unanimously. Next item on the agenda is the election
     of officers, so I will pass it to our coordinator.
 5
 6
 7
                     MR. MIKE:
                                Thank you, Mr. Chair. Every
     year this Council elects new officers during its winter
 8
 9
     meeting.
10
                     I will now open the nominations for
11
12
     Chair.
13
14
                     MR. CARPENTER: I nominate Greg
     Encelewski as Chair.
15
16
17
                     MR. MIKE: There's a nomination for
18
     Greg Encelewski for Chair. Any other nominations.
19
                                    I ask for unanimous
20
                     MS. STICKWAN:
21
     consent.
2.2
                     MR. MIKE: Unanimous consent has been
23
     requested. Any objections.
24
25
26
                     (No comments)
27
28
                     MR. MIKE: No objections.
                                                Mr.
29
     Encelewski, you are Chair.
30
                                            They call that
31
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
32
     railroading.
33
34
                     (Laughter)
35
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
                                           Thank you for the
36
     vote of confidence. I will try and keep us on task and
37
     make sure we have plenty time to discuss issues. If I
38
39
     miss something, bear with me. I'm creeping up here in
     age, so sometimes I don't see as good as I used to.
40
     We'll do the best we can.
41
42
                     Next is going to be election of Vice
43
44
     Chair. The floor is open for nominations.
45
46
                     MR. CARPENTER: Mr. Chair. I move Judy
47
     Caminer.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: It's been moved
50
```

```
Page 9
     for Judy. Is there a second.
 2
 3
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN:
                                      Second.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Seconded by Andy.
 6
 7
                     MS. DEMENTI: I nominate Gloria. Oh,
 8
     you don't want it?
9
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: She didn't tell
10
     you that, but that's okay. Did you want to drop that
11
     then?
12
13
                     MS. DEMENTI: Yes.
14
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
                                           Okay. It's been
17
     moved and seconded to nominate Judy Caminer as the Vice
     Chair.
18
19
                     MR. CARPENTER: Mr. Chair.
20
                                                 I ask for
21
     unanimous consent.
2.2
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: It's been asked
23
24
     for unanimous consent. Donald.
25
                     MR. MIKE: Was there a nomination for
26
     Judy for Vice Chair?
27
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yes.
30
                     MR. MIKE: You did. And then there was
31
32
     a nomination for Gloria.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: No.
                                                They threw
35
     that out.
36
37
                     MR. MIKE:
                                Okay. Thank you.
38
     about that, Mr. Chair.
                             Thank you.
39
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay.
40
     request for unanimous consent for Judy. All in favor.
41
42
43
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any opposed.
46
47
                     (No opposing votes)
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: It's unanimous.
50
```

Phone: 907-243-0668

```
Page 10
     Okay. Congratulations, Judy, Vice Chair.
 2
 3
                     MS. CAMINER:
                                   Thank you.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Now we will move
 6
     on to Secretary/Treasurer. Open nominations for
 7
     Secretary/Treasurer.
 8
 9
                     MR. CARPENTER: Mr. Chair. I nominate
     Gloria Stickwan.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Gloria has been
12
13
     nominated.
14
                     MS. CAMINER:
                                    Second.
15
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Seconded by Judy.
18
     Discussion.
19
                     MR. CARPENTER: Mr. Chair.
20
                                                  I ask
21
     unanimous consent.
2.2
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Unanimous consent
23
24
     has been asked for Secretary for Gloria. All in favor,
25
     aye.
26
27
                     IN UNISON:
                                 Aye.
28
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any opposed.
29
30
31
                     (No opposing votes)
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
                                            Congratulations,
     Gloria.
34
35
                     MS. STICKWAN:
                                    Thank you.
36
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you. Yeah,
39
     Eleanor, we didn't tell you there was a change of
     positions they wanted.
40
41
42
                     MS. DEMENTI:
                                    Okay.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
                                           Thank you.
     next item we have here we want to review the previous
45
46
     minutes. If you would look over here on Page 7.
47
     Before we do that I want to just make a couple
     statements here.
48
49
50
```

Phone: 907-243-0668

```
Page 11
                     We do have three new Board members that
     we need to get to know. We do have Ed here and we've
 2
     got Diane and we've got Daniel Stevens from Chitina
 3
 4
     there.
 5
                                    I'm on teleconference.
 6
                     MS. SELANOFF:
 7
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Did Diane call
 8
 9
     in?
10
                     MS. SELANOFF: Yes, I'm here.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Oh, welcome.
13
14
15
                     MS. SELANOFF:
                                    Thank you.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: We just had
18
     elections.
                 I hope you heard.
19
                     MS. SELANOFF:
                                    I did and I'd like to
20
     congratulate both Gloria Stickwan and Judith.
21
2.2
                                                  Thank you.
23
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
                                           Okay.
24
     Maybe before you got on they elected me, so this is
     Greg Encelewski speaking here.
25
26
27
                     MS. SELANOFF: Awesome.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Welcome. Valdez,
     you don't have no snow there, do you?
30
31
32
                     MS. SELANOFF: Oh, no. Hardly any.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I just thought
35
     I'd check. Anyway, welcome to the new Council Members.
     We do have one now from Valdez, Chitina and Cooper
36
     Landing, which kind of rounds out the Council a little,
37
38
     which I like to see. So that's very good.
                                                 Thank you.
39
                     All right. Back on task. We are
40
     reviewing the minutes of the previous meeting. October
41
     17th and 18th, 2016.
42
43
44
                     MS. CAMINER:
                                   Mr. Chair.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yes, Judy.
47
                     MS. CAMINER: One clarification.
48
49
     Donald, I apologize. I meant to send this to you.
                                                          On
50
```

Page 12 Page 11 the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program, the working group consisted of myself as you have it, but 2 also Ricky and Gloria. And we worked with Scott 3 several times over the summer. 4 5 6 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. There's 7 been a correction added to the FRMP on Page 11. there anything else that needs to be corrected or 8 9 added. 10 MR. STEVENS: Gloria and who else was 11 12 on there? 13 MS. CAMINER: Ricky was on that working 14 15 group too. 16 MR. STEVENS: Okay. I just wanted to 17 make a note here. Thank you. 18 19 MR. CARPENTER: Mr. Chairman. I move we adopt the minutes of October 17, 18, 2016. 20 21 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: There's a motion 2.2 23 on the floor by Tom Carpenter to adopt the minutes as corrected here with one correction. Is there a second 24 to that motion. 25 26 27 MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Second. 28 29 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Seconded by Andy McLaughlin. Any discussion. 30 31 32 MR. CARPENTER: Question. 33 34 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: The question has 35 been called for. All in favor signify by aye. 36 37 IN UNISON: Aye. 38 39 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any opposed same sign. 40 41 42 (No opposing votes) 43 44 MS. DEMENTI: I'd like to abstain, 45 I was not at that meeting. 46 47 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, Gloria -excuse me, Eleanor. Thank you. Eleanor abstained. 48 49 50

Phone: 907-243-0668

Go ahead, Donald.

MR. MIKE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I just wanted to remind those online if you have a mute button, please hit your mute button. If you don't have it, hit star six.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: That was good. Now we can't hear your morning discussion. Thank you.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: We're going to go ahead and move on to reports. Is there any Council Member reports.

Ricky.

2.2

MR. GEASE: So in the fall we voted to put in a resolution to DOT requesting that they look at the preferred alternative not being G South, but the Juneau Creek alternative. A quick update where we are now. There was a meeting in December with all the principle parties. I think where it is now that the Secretary of Interior is going to be requested to initiate the lands exchange process that's outlined in the Russian River Lands Act.

Then hopefully by the next three or four months CIRI and the Department of Interior can work on figuring out what lands -- if there could be an exchange, what that would look like and it would open up the Juneau Creek alternative to be a preferred alternative. Without that happening the DOT stated that the Juneau Creek alternative would be speculative and they wouldn't consider it, so that's kind of the first step that's going on right now.

Once that goes in, there have been further requests for the 4(f) process to be reexamined in terms of looking at the least deleterious impacts in the Cooper Landing area between the G South route and the Juneau Creek alternative. One of the complaints was that the G South route didn't fully look at the impacts on the Kenai River and on the fish habitat on the Kenai. So there's a request in for that. We'll see what happens going forward on that.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Thanks, Ricky. Is there any questions for Ricky. Go ahead, Eleanor.

MS. DEMENTI: No, I don't have any.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Oh, okay. Ed.

MR. HOLSTEN: Yeah, Ricky. For that land exchange to go through, I'm under the impression that it will not need a blessing from Congress, is that true?

MR. GEASE: That is correct. In the Russian River Lands Act back in the early 2000's it was passed by Congress and it lists the details specific of how that agreement land exchange can occur. The first step is triggered by the Secretary of the Interior sending a letter of invite with CIRI to participate in a lands exchange. So this has already been passed by Congress. It just needs some Department of Interior action to initiate the process.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead, Judy.

MS. CAMINER: Mr. Chair. For the new members, this point of the meeting is usually where people can say or bring information about subsistence activities in your area or resource concerns that are going on in your area. Just so you know for next time you can be ready for that kind of report.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead, Gloria.

MS. STICKWAN: I just want to state that we don't have a Wrangell-St. Elias SRC. It's going to be March 1st and 2nd, so I don't have a report for that, but I do have a concern about shortage of king salmon on the Copper River. There's a pre-cast of 29,500 surplus and they're going to possibly be looking into restricting fishwheels or closures. They'll have an EO out in the next two weeks of what the State will do.

That's a concern for us because a lot of people don't have the funds to -- you know, they'd have their fishwheel and to redo it to make a live box would be a hardship on them. Plus just getting a king salmon out of a fishwheel box would be very dangerous

for them because you know it's really hard to get king salmon out of a fishwheel box when it's live. It's really hard. So it's going to be very hard on our people. It's a concern for us.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Are there any other reports, Council Members. Go ahead, Eleanor.

 MS. DEMENTI: Denali National Park held a workshop for place naming by Nikolai and Nondalton and I was invited and so was my daughter invited to this meeting. It really just brought up the fact that the Athabaskans up in that area are so much like the Ahtna people. They had a video of beaver trapping and it was just like the Ahtna people do it and it was very very interesting. Amy Craver wrote out a report that I can share with everyone.

2.2

Also there was a Memorandum of Agreement with the United States Department of Interior with the Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission and this is on the agenda, so it will be explained by the president of Ahtna Intertribal. That was a really awesome deal. I mean we've been working on it for years and years. It didn't happen like right away or anything. It took a lot of work with the Ahtna people.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Very good.

MS. SELANOFF: Mr. Chair. This is Diane Selanoff.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yeah, go ahead.

MS. SELANOFF: I wasn't concerned to the reports portion of it, but I do have a concern in the area we're in. After 1989 the herring industry as an industry is no longer what we have to do to subsist and gather herring as they came in and those numbers are significantly declining also. So I'd just like to make it a point to address the herring. The returns are even more minimal and gradually getting more significantly minimal.

That's all I had.

Thank you.

Page 16 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Thank you, Diane. I'm not sure we need you to put a proposal for 2 that too while we're talking about it, but that would 3 4 be a good way to address that. 5 6 MS. SELANOFF: Okay, thank you. 7 MR. MIKE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 8 Ms. 9 Selanoff, this is Donald Mike. The Subsistence Regional Advisory Council for this region we do not 10 manage the herring fisheries. That would be under 11 State management, so you probably would have to submit 12 a Board of Fish proposal when they have the next 13 opening for Prince William Sound. 14 15 16 Thank you. 17 MS. SELANOFF: Thank you. 18 19 20 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: That's why he's coordinator. Good call. Thank you. 21 2.2 23 Go ahead, Ricky. 24 MR. GEASE: I think the deadline for 25 Prince William Sound proposals next year is April 10th 26 27 of this year. 28 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Diane, if you 29 caught that, April 10th of this year Ricky said is the 30 deadline for proposals there. 31 32 33 MS. SELANOFF: Okay. Thank you. 34 35 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead, Donald. 36 MR. MIKE: Ms. Diane Selanoff, this is 37 Donald Mike again. Mr. Chair. This Council also can 38 submit a proposal to the 39 Board of Fish directly if they wish to do so and if you 40 want to do it, we can further discuss it and come up 41 with the details. 42 43 44 Thank you. 45 46 MS. SELANOFF: Donald, that's exactly 47 what I was hoping for. From an individual isn't as effective, so I was hoping to have it 48 presented from this board, the concerns. So I'm sure 49 50

Phone: 907-243-0668

there's going to need to be further communications this year and it probably will not be very likely that one is submitted, but I'd like to look at it for a proposal next year with hopefully more comments regarding the herring for sure and then a letter from this board, if that makes sense.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Sounds good. Sound like the way to go. Thank you.

Any other Council Member reports. Go ahead, Michael.

2.2

 MR. OPHEIM: This isn't Federal, but I chair the AC in Seldovia and we put in a proposal for our subsistence fishery there to the Board of Fish to try and gain some additional fishing area and some additional fishing time because the fish have been showing up later and later in our area and we are hoping to get some time in June. The proposal wasn't passed at the Board of Fish in Homer, so hopefully we'll be able to work with Fish and Game during the season and trying to get some additional fishing time and fishing area.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Very good. Any other Council Member reports.

 (No comments)

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Hearing none. I'll go ahead and give you a brief report from my area. I also sit on the local AC and it's a little bit frustrating because a lot of the stuff that the local AC produces is like a lot of councils. You get to the Board of Fish or the State and you don't seem to go too far.

One of the interesting things that I wanted to bring out and I don't know if some people do it, but they've got a winter king fishery and it's been growing in popularity down on the southern peninsula there. They've been trying to kind of keep track on those numbers. They did a genetic survey and I found that very interesting that those fish come mostly from the Pacific northwest, Oregon, Washington area and some other ones, but there was a really high percentage rate there.

I took it on myself to go down last weekend and take a few of those and see how they tasted. Anyway, they are very tasty fish and I'm sure that if those people -- anyway, it's getting to be very popular. I went last Saturday and there was 15, 20 boats off of Seldovia, just so you know, Michael, but that's getting to be a popular fishery and those are mostly wild stocks down there.

They also kind of liberalized sports fishing in the Ninilchik Deep Creek area for kings, which I feel really is a mistake being they don't really see the return coming back yet, but that's just a report on the local level that I see.

They've been arguing about moose there. They're concerned about moose, mostly illegal takes, but actually the Native people and the locals there we've taken more moose this year than in the past. They're actually coming back pretty good in 15C. So that's what I would report from my area.

Then I'm going to move under the Chair's report and under the Chair's report I've got a couple things to report. I did attend the Federal Subsistence Board. They took up some of our proposals. They took up the proposal on the Kasilof that the RAC unanimously supported to make permanent. They chose not to make that permanent. Judy sat in on some of those debates as I passed the Chair to her as a potential conflict.

Anyway, they voted against that, but they did change the date on it. We did come to an agreement and there's an agreement on the Kenai. The Kenai will be a special action. It will be the same as last year. They did make an agreement with the Federal Fish and Wildlife Service and you're going to get a report on that later today, so that's moving forward. There will be a public hearing on the 24th in Soldotna, but it's going to be a special action the same as last year. We can get into those details.

Judy, if you want to report a little more on the Federal Board, I'll ask you to fill in on that if you have anything there.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$  CAMINER: I don't think I have anything to add. You got it.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Well, hopefully I covered it. Next I have the coordinator's report and he's going to go ahead and give us that.

MR. MIKE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I just want to remind those public in attendance and Staff a reminder to please sign in. For those people that wish to testify we have a sign-in sheet. It's a blue card. So if you want to provide testimony to this Council on non-agenda items, you can do so.

This morning we had some handouts for your reference. From BLM the Federal Subsistence moose harvest status in Unit 13. Wrangell-St. Elias SRC report. The National Park Service finalized it's subsistence and wildlife collection regulations. These are in front of you. Also later on on our agenda we're going to have a delegation of authority discussion. OSM had provided a sheet for your reference and review. It's a double-sided printout of the delegation of authority letters.

Also in front of you is a Draft Alaska Native Relations Policy. Just for your information only. You can review that at your leisure and provide this to the public if they wish to provide comments to this Native Relations Policy.

Later on as we progress through the meeting I'll have the Draft Annual Report that this Council put together at its fall meeting. We'll also have a Policy on Nonrural Determinations just for information. And the Office of Subsistence Management staffing update report. Also, as Ms. Dementi was speaking about, there's a handout from Denali National Park update to the Southcentral Council on the Native Place Names Workshop.

Finally, I'd like to introduce Ms. DeAnna Perry. She will be following this Council for the next two meeting and eventually she will be the coordinator for the Southcentral Region. I'll maintain my coordinatorship with Bristol Bay and when the Ahtna Subsistence Committee gets approved, I'll probably be the designated Federal official for that committee. So Ms. DeAnna Perry will be shadowing this Council for the next two meeting cycles. Next winter she will be your new coordinator.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

MS. PERRY: I just wanted to say hi and I hope to meet each one of you individually during this meeting. Looking forward to knowing more about the Southcentral issues. Again, just looking forward to working with you all.

Thank you.

MR. MIKE: Thank you, DeAnna. I just want to remind the Council that February 24th the Office of Subsistence Management is holding a public hearing in Kenai on the Kenai fisheries subsistence fishery. The public hearing will be at the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Visitor's Center in Kenai. The public hearing will begin at 5:30 and end at 7:30.

I understand the Board of Fish will be meeting here in Anchorage and we will provide teleconference access to those that wish to call in from Anchorage on a public hearing that will be occurring in Kenai.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you. DeAnna, welcome. One thing that I know Carl is going to disagree, but, you know, we never gave Donald permission to go anywhere.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Anyway, I just wanted you to know that. Anyway, thank you. For that February 24th meeting, my understanding is that's on the special action, correct?

MR. MIKE: That is correct. Fisheries Special Action 17-01.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Thank you. The next item I have is public and tribal comments on non-agenda items and that's available every morning. So I just want to mention that. Is there anything.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: At this time there is none. So at this time we're going to move to old business. The first item under old business,

number 10, is Delegation of Authority.

MS. SELANOFF: Mr. Chair. Do you have an additional handout on the Delegation of Authority that was made available today?

MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair. I can email you a copy later on today for your records, but I don't have internet access at the time for me to send you a copy.

Thank you.

MS. SELANOFF: All right. Thanks.

MR. EVANS: Good morning, Mr. Chair. Members of the board here. My name is Tom Evans. I work as a wildlife biologist for the Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of OSM. The Southcentral RAC is one of my regions along with the North Slope and the Kodiak/Aleutian areas.

At one of our previous meetings, I think Andy brought it up, he wanted a summary of the Delegation of Authority actions that have occurred for the Southcentral Region. So I put together a table, which you guys have in front of you, which lists all the special actions -- I mean all the delegation of authority letters.

You'll notice that it's a mixture -first of all it's organized by units, so it goes
sequentially from Unit 6 all the way up to Unit 15.
You'll notice it's a mixture of Delegation of Authority
letters for culture camps and things like that as well
as for wildlife-related issues. It's just wildlife, no
fisheries listed here.

Andy wanted to know about sunset clause. I know that was an issue that was brought up before. There are no sunset clauses for any of these delegation of authority letters. I know the Council submitted a delegation -- when a Delegation of Authority letter came up before, you guys requested a sunset clause from one of them, but the Board did not accept that. It accepted the Delegation of Authority, but not with a sunset clause.

So that's just a brief summary of where

we're at now. I just wanted to let you know that I'm here to answer any questions if you have any.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Thanks,

Tom. I'm sure there's going to be some questions here if you give us a moment. We'll take a look at this.

9 MR. MCLAUGHLIN: I'm just curious how 10 many fisheries Delegations of Authority are there.

Andy, are you ready to start or you had a question.

11 This seems to be wildlife ones.

MR. EVANS: Yeah, I did not do fishery ones. I just did the wildlife ones for this.

MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Through the Chair. Is there a number, a general estimate of how many?

MR. EVANS: I do not know on the fishery side. Maybe somebody from the fishery program here could speak to that.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Donald.

MR. MIKE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Fishery special action or Delegation of Authority, I think we have one for the Kenai Refuge and I think Jeff Anderson from the Kenai Field Office has a Delegation of Authority to open or close seasons on the Kenai River. Mr. Anderson, are you still online to clarify?

MR. ANDERSON: Through the Chair. Yes, Donald, I am still online. My delegated authority is for the project leader of the Kenai Fish and Wildlife Conservation Office and in that capacity I serve as the general in-season manager for Federal subsistence fisheries in Cook Inlet.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Copper River.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$  MIKE: Mr. Chair. We have our representative from the Copper River District.

MS. CELLARIUS: For the record, this is Barbara Cellarius, subsistence coordinator for Wrangell-St. Elias National Park and Preserve. The Superintendent of Wrangell-St. Elias has a delegated authority for managing the salmon fishery or the fishery in the Upper Copper River. We generally open

Phone: 907-243-0668

and close the Chitina Subdistrict in coordination with the State openings and closures.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead, Judy.

MS. CAMINER: I can't remember. Is there one for the Lower Copper River or also for part of the Denali area too, something different?

MS. CELLARIUS: All I know off the top of my head for Wrangell-St. Elias is that Upper Copper River. I could pull the letter up on my computer to see exactly what it says.

MR. EVANS: I was just informed that the Forest Service has delegated authority for the Lower Copper River.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead, Tom.

2.2

MR. CARPENTER: Thank you very much. It would be nice, maybe not obviously during this meeting, but if the list of the fisheries that have a Delegation of Authority could be created so that the RAC members could have a list in front of them whenever they're dealing with issues in regards to this.

I think the one thing that I want to bring up and I've brought it up in the past is at least for the Delegation of Authority in Unit 6 and this Delegation of Authority came after the one that was granted to the area on the Kenai Peninsula where there's been a lot of controversy about opening and closing seasons.

We made a motion as a RAC to the Board to give the Delegation of Authority to the district ranger in Cordova for deer and moose with the understanding that a sunset clause was to be placed. Granted, I understood what you said that the Board accepted the Delegation of Authority recommendation but that they did not include the sunset clause.

My contention is that I don't think that this RAC would have given Delegation of Authority to the district ranger in Cordova without the sunset clause. So I actually take exception to what the Board did. They basically modified our recommendation without any consultation back to the RAC before that

was put in place.

So I'm not sure how to rectify that problem. I think that's one of the reasons that I have somewhat of a sour taste in my mouth over these Delegation of Authorities. I understand that you're not the Federal Board and you don't make those calls, but I think it's important when the Interagency Staff or these conversations take place at the Board meeting that the RAC's reflection is given to the Board during their deliberations in full context so that the Board really understands what the RAC's main idea was.

That's all I have.

MS. SELANOFF: Mr. Chair, I have a

17 question.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Tom.

Go ahead, Diane.

 MS. SELANOFF: This Delegation of Authority, what authority did they delegate to Mr. Evans, I believe? What is he authorized to do on our behalf.

MR. EVANS: Hi, Diane. I have no delegation of authority. The Delegation of Authorities are usually given to the land managers in the area where the Delegation of Authority issue came up. So some of these issues like for the Southcentral, some of them belong to the Forest Service, there might be one for the Park Service and then the Fish and Wildlife Service. For like different land managers in those areas.

For the one that Tom was just talking about for the Cordova District Ranger, it was to set Federal subsistence harvest quotas, close and reopen and adjust Federal seasons or to adjust harvest and possession limits for deer and moose. That's the Delegation of Authority that was given to the Chugach District Ranger.

MS. SELANOFF: Okay. So he adjusts that, but how -- does he consult with the RAC in advance or does he just -- I'm sorry, I'm still trying to learn this process. When he has authority to do

that, does he consult with anybody or does he just make a decision?

MR. EVANS: Milo is the biologist with that district ranger and he's been very good about working with the local RACs. He's been in front of this board many times. So when decisions are made, I get the impression that he's informed everybody and there's been a discussion before decisions are made on adjusting deer or moose seasons in that area.

MS. SELANOFF: Okay. So, for example, when he makes his decision, he contacts the people in the area or the unit as one of the ways of how to make a decision.

2.2

MS. SKORKOWSKY: For the record, this is Robert Skorkowsky, District Ranger from Cordova. Just to speak to that, the letter, Delegation of Authority, outlines for me the process of coordination, both with the RAC and with the managing other organizations that I need to work through for that process of making any changes or adjustments. As far as I'm aware, it seems to have worked fairly well in Prince William Sound and Unit 6.

Just for clarification, I just wanted to mention that the delegations with deer and moose and then I believe there's a separate one recently for black bear that was discussed and the fisheries delegation is for the delta as well as freshwater in Prince William Sound.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Diane, this is Greg, the Chair here. We'll try to get you up to speed on some of that, the way it works. I know as Chair I get called on the Kenai sometimes, but it's not a real defined process. They do kind of notify us. The reason we wanted this delegation, we're going to debate it here some more, I'm going to let Andy talk, but once we give the delegation it appears to be out there forever and there's no sunset clause. Some of our questions from our RAC has been, you know, a delegation without frameworks we question that in the first place.

Anyway, we're going to move forward.

Andy, go ahead. 2 MR. MCLAUGHLIN: I'd like to start off 3 and say Milo has done a stellar job at consulting 4 people, but if he moved along and somebody else was in 5 that position, we don't know what the next person down 6 the line in the future would do. I'd just like to 7 concur with every single word Mr. Carpenter over there 8 9 said. I couldn't put it that eloquently. It was spot 10 on. 11 12 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you. 13 14 Gloria. 15 MS. STICKWAN: Did the Federal Board 16 17 give a reason why they didn't want to have a sunset clause? 18 19 20 MR. EVANS: I don't know the exact reason, but I think they basically -- maybe..... 21 2.2 23 MR. SHARP: Dan Sharp with Bureau of 24 Land Management. I serve on the Interagency Staff Committee. The Board's reasoning behind not putting in 25 a sunset clause, in fact removing it, was simply the 26 fact that the RAC can at any time request that the 27 delegation be rescinded for cause or whatever else. 28 29 putting a sunset clause administratively simply adds to the timing of doing another delegation, going through 30 this RAC again. It sets up a process where it ends, it 31 32 begins, it ends, it begins. 33 The Board's preference was to allow the 34 35 RAC, if they're dissatisfied with the manager or his particular delegation or how he's exercised that 36 authority is for the RAC to request that the Board 37 38 rescind it or change it in some fashion. But a sunset 39 clause simply adds administrative work to the process and it's not done anywhere else, so it was primarily an 40 administrative function as opposed to a check and 41 balance. 42 43 44 It is well within the RAC's authority for any decision to complain to the Board and that I 45 46 think was the Board's perception of how the process 47 should work. 48

Computer Matrix, LLC 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501

49

50

Phone: 907-243-0668 Fax: 907-243-1473

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Michael.

 MR. OPHEIM: I think it was brought up at the last meeting. How old are some of these Delegations of Authority and are some of them still actually required? Can they be brought to us so we can go over them and see. Maybe some of them can be removed.

MR. EVANS: As Dan mentioned, the Board can request any of the Delegation of Authorities to be removed if they think they're not valid or being used anymore. The list that you have in front of you is the list of all the ones we think are active right now. As you know, there's probably about half of these deal with cultural and educational camps of that sort.

2.2

As far as when they were enacted, a couple of these were enacted in 2009, so way back then. Some of the other ones were just redone recently as of 2015. So even though we went through a process of trying to get all our records straight and update all the Delegation of Authority letters, most of these have a date of 2015 on them, but the board, it's in their purview to look these over and make recommendations if they think that some of these are no longer valid or no longer in the interest of the board -- of the RAC I mean.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Ricky, go ahead.

MR. GEASE: Considering the administrative issues, maybe it would be incumbent upon us to make it on a 10-year calendar or a 6-year calendar or something like that where it's part of our business at one of the meetings we just review this and it's part of an agenda item, so it's not every year. You know, you don't get bogged down and stuff. But just as a review of what's happening. I think something on a six to eight to ten-year cycle, something like that, would be appropriate.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yeah, I think that might be a good suggestion, Ricky. One of the problems that we have -- and, Tom, I think you brought up an interesting point to me is that the RAC can ask that it be rescinded at any time. Well, generally by the time it gets back to the RAC it's too late because we haven't -- you know, we can't do no action on it for

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

another year or two-year cycle or it's got to go through a special action. One of the things is when we've had problems with Delegation of Authorities and tried to get it back to the RAC, that's almost impossible to get it back at the time.

I know just talking with the Council here, all of you, one of our concerns is if you get one that has a Delegation of authority that is -- you know, we're taking the authority of the advice of our Council and we're putting it in the hands of that person and if they're just closing it down for us and no options, then we're kind of hosed.

 So I would like to see a review of it. I would like to see it all encompassed and maybe some limitations by the RAC or someone because it's pretty open-ended. That's what I'm trying to say in a way.

2.2

And my understanding, Carl, and you're the one that got the legalese on this, is the delegation has to have pretty good framework. So if it has the framework and everyone is okay with it, it should be all right.

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For the record, Carl Johnson, Office of Subsistence Management. I think if the Council wants to take the suggestion that Mr. Sharp had, that it kind of acts as a periodic reviewer and checker of delegated authorities. Ricky's suggestion is a good one.

A logical step would be as part of your agency reports you just ask the land manager who has the delegated authority to come in at whatever interval you find appropriate to just ask them to give a briefing to you on what actions they've taken under their delegated authority so you're informed of it. A logical time, if you want to have some sort of systematic review as Ricky suggested, the logical time would be in connection with the beginning of a wildlife cycle.

So if you heard a report about something and you wanted to modify the delegated authority in some way and respond to Dan's suggestion about bringing it back to the Board, then that would be a logical time because then you could submit a proposal as part of the wildlife cycle to make any modifications

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

you feel are necessary.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Carl.

4 5

Judy.

MS. CAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And thanks, Carl. I think that's a good suggestion. Not to take the discussion too far back, but it might be good, especially for our new people, to explain why the Board has delegated authorities and how that's supposed to be beneficial to users.

2.2

MR. EVANS: Okay. I'll give a brief summary. The main reason why I think Delegation of Authority actions are given to land managers is because it basically provides a mechanism to shorten the -- if someone requests something, a change in the regulation, extension of the season, under the normal process if there's an area where there isn't delegated authority, we have to go through the special action process. The special action process can take some time due to all the reviews that it takes. Also that isn't very timely either.

Whereas if the land manager has a delegated authority, they can just consider the request and act on it in a much more timely basis. That was one of the issues Greg was bringing up, that these things aren't timely and by the time you get through the process, you know, the season is over and it's kind of a moot point.

So that's the reason why a Delegation of Authority is such a good mechanism for getting quick changes done during a season or quickly if the weather changes or there's been a season where you can't hunt moose because there hasn't been enough snow cover or the local residents haven't been able to get their quota because of other reasons if they want to extend a season or something. So that's the general benefit of having Delegation of Authority.

MR. JOHNSON: I'll add to that, Mr. Chair, that they often are also in possession of the most current information and they can respond to it when they see it rather than waiting for somebody to tell them to respond to it. They can respond with real-time information whereas that's something the

Board is not capable of doing. It takes a while for that information to trickle to the Board to make a decision under the special action process that Tom mentioned.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Carl. I guess some of the points I'd bring up is sometimes I question that and it's a process of, you know, if you set a regulation and then someone jumps to make a quick decision, I know that -- and I'm going to get off here and you guys stop me if I get in trouble.

Anyway, the Board of Fish makes a lot of authorities and emergency actions, kind of like a Delegation of Authority, and they stop and open and close seasons. Well, they do it all the time, but they do it after the damn fish are up the river, you know, and it's too late.

2.2

So some of our Delegations of Authority sometime are premature or sometimes they've happened before anyone else was closed and that's why I think it's got to be a real bad sore spot. That's all we're saying. No one is perfect. You don't have a crystal ball, but these Delegations of Authority should be used at the absolute minimum and really favor the subsistence users is my point.

Tom.

MR. CARPENTER: I think one of the interesting points of the Delegation of Authority is that we've got a lot of different philosophies in regards to how different Federal agencies manage their land. So, as a RAC, we sit here with a reasonable idea for a moose population, a harvest level and we get that, the Board passes it, but depending which agency is going to be managing the land where that hunt is going to take place, the person that's the manager is going to use his Delegation of Authority quite differently than the guy next door with a different philosophy on how to manage his land.

I think that's where the problem takes place and I think that's why a lot of people on the RAC that have been here for any time at all have seen problems on the Kenai Peninsula based on philosophy and I don't necessarily know that all the Delegation of Authorities have issues, but I don't think that the

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

```
Page 31
     philosophy is taken into account when some of these
 2
     delegations were given out.
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
                                            Go ahead, Gloria.
 5
 6
                     MS. STICKWAN: I was just wondering is
     it possible to get an update of all the Delegation of
 7
     Authorities for fisheries as well. Also I don't see
 8
 9
     the Unit 13 moose that was done this winter.
     down here? Is it the Unit 13 moose/caribou one?
10
     that what you're referring to?
11
12
                     MR. EVANS: Unit 15 within the Kenai
13
14
     Refuge?
15
16
                     MS. STICKWAN:
                                    No.
                                         I'm talking about
17
     Unit 13.
18
                     MR. EVANS: So Unit 13 has two
19
     Delegation of Authorities, or three actually. It has
20
     one to the BLM Glennallen Field Office Manager for the
21
     Tazlina/Chickaloon Culture Camp. Another one to the
2.2
     BLM Glennallen Field Office for the Hudson Lake
23
24
     Residential Treatment Camp. The final one again to the
     BLM Field Office Manager to issue permits to harvest
25
     either two caribou or one bull moose for the Ahtna
26
27
     Heritage Foundation Culture Camp.
28
29
                     MS. STICKWAN:
                                    They did one this fall,
     right?
30
31
32
                     MR. EVANS:
                                 What?
33
34
                     MS. STICKWAN: The BLM manager came
35
     here and told us he did one for this fall. It's not on
     here?
36
37
38
                                 I think that was a special
                     MR. EVANS:
39
     action.
40
                                    A special action?
41
                     MS. STICKWAN:
42
                     MR. EVANS: Uh-huh (affirmative).
43
44
45
                     MS. STICKWAN:
                                    Okay. But could we get
46
     the fisheries one? I was just wondering does
47
     Wrangell-St. Elias have delegation for fisheries on the
     Copper River upper?
48
49
50
```

Phone: 907-243-0668

Page 32 MR. EVANS: Yeah. 1 2 3 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead, Donald. 4 5 MR. MIKE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. fisheries office in OSM will provide the rest of all 6 the Delegation of Authorities for the fisheries 7 statewide, so we'll have a list for the fisheries 8 9 Delegation of Authority also. 10 Thank you. 11 12 13 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Judy, go 14 ahead. 15 MS. CAMINER: Mr. Chair. 16 So, Donald, 17 could that just be emailed to us whenever that's completed, please. 18 19 20 MR. MIKE: Yes, that will be emailed 21 and faxed as soon as I get those. 2.2 23 MS. CAMINER: Thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. 25 having a great discussion. We've got a lot of things 26 27 to do here. Ricky, go ahead. 28 MR. GEASE: Understanding that we're 29 just the Southcentral RAC, have any other RACs had this 30 issue or brought this topic up as a point of 31 32 information or a point of tracking? 33 34 MR. JOHNSON: Through the Chair, Ricky. 35 I believe this is unique to the Southcentral Region as this being kind of a general issue that is frequently 36 discussed. The only time that other Councils have 37 38 discussed Delegation of Authority is in the context of 39 a specific regulatory proposal. 40 I'll add to that in kind of a response 41 to some of Tom's comments that the best thing you can 42 do as a Council is to kind of build on this suggested 43 approach of dealing with this issue is come up with 44 reports from the land managers, how they're using their 45 delegated authority, have specific discussions on the 46 47 record and about specific concerns and then kind of have that building the record approach of approaching 48 particular issues of concern and then identifying 49 50

Phone: 907-243-0668

SOUTHCENTRAL REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL 2/13/2017 Page 33 those. 1 2 3 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: 4 5 MR. CARPENTER: Carl, I heard you 6 comment earlier in regards to maybe having some sort of review process like Ricky suggested. So give me some --7 when do you think it would be the best time to do that? 8 9 Every four years, every six years? I just want something to be semi-regularly in regards to creating a 10 motion here. I'm just looking for some guidance to 11 when you think it would be applicable. 12 13 MR. JOHNSON: Through the Chair. 14 That's really kind of at the discretion of the Council, 15 but it really depends on how much changes, how much 16 17 those delegated authorities are used. Tom suggests that a six-year timeframe would be good because that 18 gives you enough time to see how it's implemented 19 because it does take a while. You know, first go 20 through the regulatory process of getting those in 21 place and then seeing how they're utilized. 2.2 23 24 I know that the biologists like to see trends rather than spikes of data before they discern 25 whether or not a particular management approach is 26 working. So it seems like that would make sense as 27 well with reviewing your delegated authorities within 28 your region. 29 30 31 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Tom, 32 you've got something else. 33 MR. CARPENTER: Mr. Chairman. 34 I'd make 35 a motion that the Southcentral RAC review all Delegation of Authorities each concurrent with the 36 wildlife cycle and the fisheries cycle so they don't 37 all have to be done at one meeting every five years. 38 39 MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Second. 40 41 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: We've got a 42 motion on the table that's been moved by Tom to have 43 44 the review for the fisheries and the wildlife every

45 46 five years and seconded by Andy.

MR. CARPENTER: I meant to say six,

47 MR. (48 excuse me, not five.

```
Page 34
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN:
                                      Second.
 2
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, second
 3
 4
     concurs it's every six years.
 5
                     MR. CARPENTER: Mr. Chairman.
 6
 7
     speak to it. I think it's, like you say, something
     that we've talked about quite a bit. I think there's
 8
 9
     been what a lot of the RAC Members perceive to be some
     slight manipulation of the Delegation of Authority to a
10
     certain degree. I think for the most part all the
11
     Federal managers throughout the region have acted
12
     appropriately and
13
     consultation has taken place, but it's never a bad idea
14
     to review
15
16
     something that's in place semi-regularly and I think
17
     this will put an end to some of the concern people
18
19
                     If we find there's certain Delegation
20
     of Authorities that haven't been working correctly,
21
     then we can recommend to the Board at that time that
2.2
     they rescind that authority and that's about the best
23
24
     we can do.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
                                           Any other
27
     discussion.
28
                     (No comments)
29
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Hearing none.
32
     The question is in order, I guess.
33
34
                     MS. CAMINER:
                                   Question.
35
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: The question has
36
     been called for by Judy. All in favor aye.
37
38
39
                     IN UNISON:
                                 Aye.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any opposed.
42
43
                     (No opposing votes)
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
                                           Okay.
                                                  We made a
     motion. We're still on Delegation of Authority as far
46
47
     as I'm concerned. Is there anything else, Donald, that
     we need to address on that? Does anyone want to talk
48
     to us on a delegation that hasn't spoken? Do you have
49
50
```

Phone: 907-243-0668

any bright ideas?

MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair. No, I don't. My impression for the Delegation of Authority was that it would only be wildlife that the Delegation of Authority had an effect on, but the Council has made a motion to review the Delegation of Authority every six years and we'll bring that forth to our leadership team and make sure that happens.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. A question for you, Donald. I guess Carl probably answered this, but basically the RAC can ask that one be rescinded at any time, so that could come up at any RAC meeting, correct?

MR. MIKE: Yes, that is correct.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Judy.

MS. CAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So I guess two logistical questions would be when would we start this and if we do this review in concurrence with the wildlife meeting where we're reviewing proposals, that'll be a long meeting, so we'll probably have to do a little agenda management so that we don't add a whole bunch of other items and be able to get through that. That would just be my guess.

 MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair. Yes, that's going to be a discussion within our office as to when to start the Delegation of Authority review. Carl.

MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair. I think given that the appropriate agencies and land managers haven't been briefed and asked to provide a report for this meeting, a logical time to start would be to start your first review of fisheries next year at your call for fisheries proposals and then wildlife the next wildlife cycle, so we'd be fully prepared with both the amount of time necessary to conduct the meeting and then also the appropriate staff resources to provide you adequate briefings to discuss those issues.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: That sounds reasonable, Carl, to me if that's in agreement with the Council. I think that sounds reasonable and logical.

Thank you.

Ivan, would you want to come forward. I've got a request for a talk on Delegation of Authorities by the Ninilchik Traditional Council.

MR. I. ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Members of the Council. For the record, my name is Ivan Encelewski. I'm the executive director for the Ninilchik Traditional Council. Also a Federally qualified subsistence user.

I just want to talk a little bit about Delegation of Authority and I know I testified extensively at the last meeting in October about this issue. I think this issue is obviously near and dear to our heart because it's something that affects our community quite a bit.

2.2

So I guess as a general rule we support the idea of a Delegation of Authority obviously because the Federal Subsistence Board -- it's such a broad -- you have to delegate in-season management because obviously you have in-season things going on for managing fish and wildlife resources. So the idea is good. Unfortunately in our area it's been used for both benefit and in a negative context as well.

With that respect, I think Mr. Encelewski had mentioned one time where in the past former in-season managers had closed Federal subsistence fisheries before State fishery and so I would just echo Mr. McLaughlin and Mr. Carpenter's comments regarding some of those concerns. It really depends on the philosophy of the in-season manager and what the context is for who is going to be managing those resources at a later date because that kind of changes quite a bit.

One thing I will say is that we're very familiar with this issue from a legal perspective. It was part of a lawsuit that we were involved with with Delegation of Authority. As you may know, the Delegation of Authority is derived from 50 CFR 100.10(d)(6) and that says that -- the regulation states that the Board may "delegate to agency officials the authority to set harvest and possession limits, define harvest areas, specify methods or means of harvest, specify permit

requirements, and open or close specific fish or wildlife harvest seasons within frameworks established by the Board."

That's our big concern is that the Delegation of Authorities and specifically in relation to Ninilchik we don't believe that they have those specific frameworks established by the Board. So when the Board gives a Delegation of Authority to an inseason manager, they says, okay, manage that fishery stock and here's your Delegation of Authority, but they're not saying, you know, if a minimum escapement goal was not met, do this. I mean there's no specific framework.

So they have carte blanche authority to do whatever, whenever, however essentially and that's where it gets a little shaky because you're giving basically one person complete and total veto power over an entire fishery and that's become kind of our concern.

If the Federal Board doesn't establish clear delineations of at least structures or frameworks as the actual statutory language requires, then it allows some ambiguity for how those managers may implement those Delegations of Authority. So they basically have total, overall control.

I can give you examples. I gave you a negative example where a fishery was closed before a State fishery. On a positive side, under a Delegation of Authority under a moose season, we had the Refuge Manager asking for a Delegation of Authority to be able to -- instead of -- our Units are 15A, B and C in our area and they wanted to break the unit down to 15C because the population in 15C for bull moose was actually increasing and doing well and 15A wasn't doing so well. So if they had the Delegation of Authority, then they could kind of delineate that out, keep C open, keep A and B closed.

So there's an example where it works to your benefit, but if it works in the negative where an in-season manager says, no, I'm going to close a subsistence fishery before a State fishery, then we view that as obviously a concern.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

So there's a lot to this Delegation of Authority and I'm glad to see that the RAC is taking a look at this because this is definitely something that we've had a lot of concern with and that we're working potentially with the Federal Subsistence Board and I'd like to see the RAC actively engaged in and really stress in the end that Delegation of Authorities work really well when you have a good working symbiotic relationship with your in-season manager, but if you have a different philosophy or a different agreement, they can be used as a weapon against you.

Very very clearly against the Federal subsistence users where you have absolutely no control over your subsistence resources and you're just at the whim of the in-season manager. So without those frameworks delineated from the Board they're basically given unfettered access to do whatever they want.

2.2

With that, I would just say I'm glad to see, like I say, that the RAC is taking an interest in this. I'd like to see the RAC and the Board further delineate their delegation so it's a little bit clearer for what exactly they have control over and how they implement those closures when it affects subsistence users.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Ivan. Very well put. Any questions for Ivan.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you. Is there anything else on Delegation. Go ahead.

MS. STICKWAN: I think we should take up his suggestion and set criteria if we can today about his point about them closing fisheries. There should be a criteria in there that they can't close it if the State's open. That should be criteria number one. We should have that in ours and we should make a motion on it.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I'm not sure, Gloria, on how we would approach that. I guess we'd have to take the specific delegation, so it would be a little hard and we're going to be reviewing them.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

Ricky, you've got a question?

MR. GEASE: No. Just a point. I think one of the concepts for Delegation of Authority is conservation. I mean we can ask that conservation purpose for a closure or opening be clearly articulated. Maybe there's a report to the Chair that then could be passed out to the other Council members in terms of, hey, for XYZ conservation reason this action through the Delegation of Authority was taken.

I think that's where some of the issues come from, is how people consider conservation, what is a conservation issue, how it's implemented. I think different in-season managers might have a different view of conservation like Tom was saying. I do think this is an issue that different agencies have different perspectives of conservation.

2.2

Last time we talked about the new Fish and Wildlife regs with the NPS regs in terms of having active or passive management. Typically when we look at in-season management it's for active management looking at in-season data. You know, if we veer from that, then I think we're going to have more concerns going through time.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I think, Ricky, that's well taken, your point, but I think that's part of the problem because it's a perception of the conservation concern in our area specifically. You know it as well as I do that when escapement goals are met and the reds are way overescaped and the king goal is met and then it's still been shut down for conservation pretty early, that's where we get in the bind. So it has to be clearly something to trigger that conservation concern other than that. You know, that, oh man, the sky is falling, you know.

Anyway, very good. I think, Gloria, rather than belabor too much, I think we're going to have to take them individually as they come. Is that correct, Donald?

Go ahead, Judy.

MS. CAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I know in the past, Tom, you've provided to us an example of an actual letter of Delegation of Authority. So

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

that might be helpful certainly for new people to have and I guess for us to look over a little more closely based on what Gloria just said. Obviously the Delegation of Authority has to provide a preference for subsistence uses and users. And we can read that letter with that in mind and we might have some suggestions for modifications which could be sent to the Board.

MR. EVANS: Yeah, we can provide a sample letter. Now each Delegation of Authority, of course, is different and unique to the situation at hand, so just keep that in mind, but we can certainly provide a recent Delegation of Authority letter for people to review and get an idea of what's in it and how it's worded.

 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: And if they're different, Tom, specific for areas, you could do that too, provide the different ones if there is a different type. Are they generic?

MR. EVANS: They're generally the same, but the conditions of what we're asked, like whether the Federal subsistence land manager has ability to open and close, change those seasons end of season, maybe require different sex restrictions, you know, like the take of females or males. So it can vary a little bit within the specifics of it, but the general letter is pretty standardized now.

 That was one of the things we were trying to do over the last couple years was try to get all those together and make them all similar so they didn't look different between different Delegation of Authorities between the different agencies.

general letter is pretty standard

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. You get as close as possible and accurate, that would be good.

Thank you.

So I think we're going to take them up specifically as they come before us and we'll have the review as we pass the motion. If that's good with the Council, we'll move on from Delegation of Authority and move on to our next item of business.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

SOUTHCENTRAL REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL 2/13/2017 Page 41 We'll take about a five-minute break 2 and get some coffee and whatever you need. 3 4 Thank you. 5 6 (Off record) 7 (On record) 8 9 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. We just 10 had a short break. We're back in session here. 11 next item of business is we added C under old business 12 and we're going to get a fisheries update from Donald 13 here. 14 15 16 MR. MIKE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 17 the Board meeting in January 2017, the Board addressed 18 fisheries proposals and they addressed the Kenai proposals from Ninilchik Traditional Council regarding 19 gillnet at Moose Range Meadows. The Board took action 20 on elements of the 2017 fisheries proposals for the 21 Kenai River subsistence gillnet fishery. 2.2 23 24 The Board approved a strategy to move 25 forward and direct the Staff to draft a proposed rule. 26 27 So the fisheries proposals. 28 10-fathom gillnet length, single gillnet permitted and 29 fisheries may take place in Moose Range Meadows. 30 were part of the proposals and they are already in 31 32 regulation. 33 As far as the FP17-10, fisheries dates 34 35 July 1 to August 15th and September 10th to September 30, those were moved forward as part of the action 36 taken by the Board. And require reporting date of 37 38 catch within 17 hours, remove operation plan 39 requirement, live release of all rainbow trout and Dolly Varden, salmon taken in the gillnet fishery 40 include as part of the dipnet/rod and reel fishery 41 annual household limits only. FP17-10 there will be a 42 permit stipulation, the collection of all samples from 43 44 all harvest of chinook salmon for genetic testing. 45 46 Another permit stipulation, Anchor

Point and buoy, the buoy may be any color but red.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

For FP17-08, eliminate annual total

47

48 49

SOUTHCENTRAL REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL 2/13/2017 Page 42 harvest limit for late run chinook salmon. 2 The rest of the elements of the 3 agreement in principal will take special action first 4 then rulemaking. The special action to eliminate total 5 harvest limit for sockeye, coho and pink salmon. 6 7 A special action for early run chinook 8 9 season July 1 to July 15th, harvest and counter limit, closure until July 16th once limit is met. 10 11 12 Special action to establish late run 13 chinook harvest limit associated with time period July 14 16th through August 15th. 15 16 And closure of gillnet fishery until 17 September 10th if limit is reached. 18 19 And special action to establish specific limits and select time periods for chinook 20 salmon harvest. That will be special action. 21 2.2 23 Another special action to establish early run chinook salmon household limits. 24 25 And another special action the resident 26 fishing limits 100 rainbow, 150 Dolly Varden, closure 27 28 of fishery for season if limits reach retention of fish 29 that die in net. 30 And a special action, retention of all 31 32 incidental mortalities regardless of species or length. The retention counts toward encounter and harvest 33 totals for specific species. 34 35 The special action to retention of 36 chinook salmon, less than 20 inches in length, which 37 38 does not count towards encounter of harvest totals. 39 Finally, another special action. 40 Federal subsistence regulations adopted State seasonal 41 harvest bank closures will not apply to gillnet 42 fisheries. 43 44 All these special actions that were 45

47 48 49

50

46

The Fish and Wildlife Service action to

Computer Matrix, LLC 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501 Phone: 907-243-0668 Fax: 907-243-1473

stated will require some rulemaking along the timeline.

The rulemaking will probably occur late in 2017.

SOUTHCENTRAL REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL 2/13/2017 Page 43 allow public easement access to bank in Moose Range Meadows, that will take a Fish and Wildlife Service 2 action and a corrective action/rulemaking. 3 4 5 I have fisheries staff if you have any technical questions on the agreement in principal. 6 a timeline where we briefed the Southcentral RAC on the 7 Board strategy to address the gillnet regulations and 8 9 then followed by special action request by Fish and Wildlife Service OSM and our staff will conduct an 10 analysis on and conduct a public hearing in Kenai and 11 then followed by or prior to the public hearing we'll 12 13 have a tribal consultation, which is currently 14 scheduled for February 17th. 15 16 Any questions for I or Staff. 17 18 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: That was a whole lot, Donald, but a very good report. I could sum it up 19 in a couple words. Is there any questions of the RAC. 20 21 Ricky, go ahead. 2.2 23 24 MR. GEASE: Donald, could we get copies of what you were reading off of. I appreciate the 25 information, but it would be nice to have it as a 26 27 handout. 28 29 MR. MIKE: Yes. I'll provide copies at lunch. 30 31 32 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Dan. 33 34 MR. STEVENS: I just want to know, 35 Donald, was there -- I heard 10 fathoms. That's the length. Is there a depth? Do you have a certain depth 36 that it's got to go to? 37 38 39 MR. MIKE: The depth is -- what's the 40 depth, Ivan? 30 mesh deep or 20 mesh deep? recall. 41 42 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I believe it's 43 44 45 mesh. There's really no depth limit on it. I think

45

10 fathom, 45 mesh, am I correct? it was 45.

46 47

MR. I. ENCELEWSKI: I don't believe there is a depth.

Page 44 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yeah, I don't 2 believe there is. 3 4 MR. STEVENS: I was just curious. 5 wondering if there was a way that they could slide underneath the net for escapement and stuff. 6 7 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: We don't want any 8 9 to escape. 10 MR. STEVENS: Excuse me then. 11 12 MS. HARDIN: Mr. Chair. Jennifer 13 Hardin, for the record. I'm the Acting Fisheries 14 Division Chief at OSM. I just wanted to make one 15 correction for the record that at the Board meeting, 16 17 the Federal Subsistence Board, Donald mentioned FP17-08. Actually that proposal was withdrawn by the 18 proponent and the item that Donald mentioned that was 19 attached to FP17-08 originally is being addressed 20 through the Fisheries Special Action 17-01. 21 2.2 23 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Great 24 clarification, Jennifer. Thank you. Yeah, that's what transpired. Basically they came to an agreement is 25 what it amounts to and they've got to go through the 26 special action this year until the rulemaking is done 27 and they came to an agreement with what Donald has 28 29 read, as he outlined. So they're going to proceed with the special action and then they'll do the rulemaking 30 to become the regulations, which we already have the 31 32 fishery. 33 34 Did I sum it up pretty good -- okay, 35 thank you. 36 Mr. Chair. And then I 37 MS. CAMINER: guess just the last part is that this is basically what 38 39 the RAC supported at our last meeting. So the RAC would be support of this special action as well. 40 41 42 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Do you want to 43 make a motion to that? 44 MS. CAMINER: Yeah, I quess. 45 46 it would be important that we -- I would like to make a 47 motion to support this special action as read by Donald but modified by Jennifer in terms of that one 48 clarification from 08. 49

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

```
Page 45
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Is there a
 2
     second to that.
 3
 4
                     MR. OPHEIM: I'll second.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Discussion.
                                                         Go
 7
     ahead, Ricky.
 8
 9
                     MR. GEASE:
                                 So just for clarification,
     where are we in the RFR process? I mean can you and I
10
     participate in that or is this separate from that or
11
     what's the deal in this now.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
                                            I'm probably not
14
     the right person to answer that, but it is separate
15
     from this and it's ongoing and they did accept some
16
17
     that they're going to look at, so it's going on.
18
                     Am I correct, Jennifer?
19
20
21
                                  Through the Chair, Mr.
2.2
                     MS. HARDIN:
             The request for reconsideration process is
23
24
     ongoing and there are certain claims that were
     identified as potentially requiring additional analysis
25
     and that analysis will proceed after we've completed
26
     these other steps in the process. We don't have a
27
     timeline for that at the moment.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. I've got a
30
     motion and a second to support the special action and
31
32
     as clarified by Jennifer and Donald's report. Is there
     any other discussion.
33
34
35
                     (No comments)
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Hearing none.
38
     All in favor aye.
39
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
40
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any opposed.
43
44
                     (No opposing votes)
45
46
                     MR. GEASE: Respectfully I'm going to
47
     abstain just like last time because it's an ongoing
     legal process with no timeline or end in sight that I
48
     know of. So I will abstain on this one.
49
50
```

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Ricky. One abstention.

MR. HOLSTEN: Mr. Chair. I'll have to abstain too. I'm just not that familiar with that issue since I wasn't in that last meeting.

Ed.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Thank you,

MS. CAMINER: Mr. Chair. So just in terms of RAC representation, if you will, at that meeting, are you intending to go or do you want one of us to try to call in or several of us to call in?

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I think we had two abstentions, the rest in favor. I think the motion carries. So let me clarify that.

2.2

 Judy's question to me was if I was going to go to the special action. I was trying to send Ivan to that, but he's got another meeting, to represent me. Anyway, I'm going to try to make it. It's a 5:00 to 7:00. I think anyone that can call or support it would be good.

 Basically, Ed, and we'll try to get you up to speed, it's the exact same as the one last year. Basically they have to have the special action until they approve the process so to speak. So that's what it's for. I might have simplified it, but it's pretty much right there. Well, it's not quite the same because it's all that stuff in there.

MS. HARDIN: Mr. Chair. That's right, OSM will be there to gather public testimony on the special action request that's been submitted jointly by Fish and Wildlife Service and Ninilchik Traditional Council. So we'll be looking for public testimony on any and all of the elements that are encompassed in that one special action request.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Does that answer your question? Go ahead, Ricky.

MR. GEASE: Donald, can you clarify where the meeting is, when it is and then the teleconference that's going to be available at the Board of Fisheries, please.

MR. MIKE: Yes, Mr. Chair, Mr. Gease. The public hearing for the Fisheries Special Action 17-01 will occur in Kenai at the Kenai Visitor's Center and we will have teleconference availability for the public that wish to testify that are attending the Board of Fish meeting here in Anchorage. Details are currently being worked out and once we have a venue for folks to participate via teleconference we will have that available.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Donald, just for clarification, when you said Kenai Visitor's Center, you're talking the Wildlife Visitor's Center in Soldotna, correct?

MR. MIKE: That's correct. The Kenai National Wildlife Visitor's Center. Thanks for the clarification.

2.2

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yeah, because there's a Kenai Visitor's Center too. So if you guys are over there, you testify there, the other ones come the other way.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you. Go ahead, Jennifer.

MS. HARDIN: Mr. Chair. If I just might note for the record that on the table there are copies of the news release with information on the venue for the public hearing as well as the call-in number.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Thank you.

 MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair. This is the time where we accept wildlife proposals, but currently we are not -- the Federal Register to announce the call for wildlife proposals has not been published yet. For the record, this Council can discuss potential wildlife proposals on record and we can have Staff make note of those wildlife proposals. When the Federal Register announces the call for wildlife proposals, we can draft those proposals for the Council. Mr. Tom Evans has more information for the Council.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, Tom, I'm

going to let you get carry -- or go ahead and explain it to us.

MR. EVANS: Get carried away, huh?

(Laughter)

MR. EVANS: This is Tom Evans. Again, I'm a wildlife biologist for the Office of Subsistence Management. Information on how to submit a wildlife regulatory proposal can be found on Page 15 of your book.

 As Donald mentioned, typically we would have opening period that typically goes from January 15th to like the end of March, b but this is not a typical year, so the call for proposals hasn't been administratively approved yet. But you can at this time work on a proposal at the meeting here, take action on it as to what you want to do. Again, the Staff can help you draft it if necessary and be ready to go so when the call for proposals does come out, then it can be submitted. That goes the same for anyone else who would like to submit a proposal.

The Board typically considers proposals to change Federal hunting and trapping seasons, harvest limits, methods of harvest, customary and traditional use determinations on Federal public lands. The Federal public lands include National Wildlife Refuges, National Parks, Monuments and Preserves, National Forests, National Wild and Scenic Rivers and Bureau of Land Management areas that are not part of the National Conservation system.

Federal regulations do not apply to the State of Alaska lands, private lands or military lands, Native allotments or selected Federal lands by the State or Native corporations.

I already mentioned that Councils can choose to work with OSM Staff to develop the proposals. Since this is your normal meeting time, recommend if you have any proposals, that you develop them now and then we'll submit them when the call for proposals gets finalized.

The information you include in the proposals most of you are pretty familiar with this.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

Your name, organization, the regulation you wish to change, the regulation as you would like to see it written, an explanation as to why the regulatory change should be made, a description of the impact the change would have on wildlife populations as well as maybe subsistence users and a description on the effect on other uses maybe such as sport or recreational commercial uses.

When the call becomes finalized, proposals can be submitted by mail or hand delivery to OSM, they can be submitted at the RAC meetings or they can be submitted on the web Federal rulemaking portal. They cannot be submitted by email, so just something to be aware of.

That's all I had. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any questions for Tom. This starts on 15 there in your booklet. If you have any questions, ask now and then we'll move on.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thanks, Tom. I think you did good there.

Okay. Does anyone have a wildlife proposal that they want to bring up at the Council level here for submittal at this time?

Go ahead, Ricky.

MR. GEASE: Just at our fall meeting we had, I think, a pretty thorough discussion about the new Fish and Wildlife and NPS regulations that went into effect that were not supposed to impact subsistence harvest. Are we clear that it did not impact subsistence methods, means, tools in the toolbox for subsistence management for the things that were taken off the table for nonrural non-qualified

hunts on Refuges and National Park Service lands?

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: It's a good question. Who would like to explain that. Did we create any problems that we might have encountered from that? Do you have anything specific that might have affected it, Ricky, that you know of?

MR. GEASE: Well, there were changes in terms of kind of going from active management to more of a biodiversity, more passive management. There were things restricted. Brown bear baiting, you couldn't do that. I mean are there areas on wildlife Refuges now that are open just for subsistence brown bear baiting? There was a couple other changes. I'm just curious as to whether or not -- we were told that these restrictions wouldn't be put in place for subsistence users, but I just wonder if there needs to be more explicit action taken by the RAC to ensure that.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: To my knowledge, and I know from the brown bear and talking with Andy, I don't think it's affected the subsistence user on the Kenai from what I was told. I would be very disappointed if I come up and found something in there, so it's a good point. It's a great point. I don't know of anything that's affecting us. If they arrest us, then we'll know, I guess. I don't want to go that route if we don't have to. Yeah, that did change some of that.

Do you know of anything, Donald? Andy, is he on the phone?

MR. MIKE: I don't know, but that would be a question for the Refuge Manager, Andy Loranger, how it affects the other users besides subsistence. I don't know if Mr. Loranger is online.

MR. MILLER: This is Steve Miller from Kenai National Wildlife Refuge. I'm the Deputy Refuge Manager. Could you repeat the question. I'll try to answer it. Andy is in another meeting right now.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, Steve. Rick Gease is going to give you the question here and we'll try to get an answer.

Thank you.

MR. GEASE: There were new regulations that were passed from the Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Park Service as it has to do with not just the Kenai National Wildlife Refuges, but with all Wildlife Refuges across Alaska. I was just curious to know if there were any restrictions put in place on the Federal subsistence users.

MR. MILLER: I'll give you the general answer. The general answer would be no, but I think what the RAC would be wise to do is to go and make sure that they have subsistence regulations in place that would allow that to occur. So what it did was prohibit the baiting of brown bear through sport hunting regulations. If the subsistence community does not have a parallel rule to allow that, then it would be prohibited under sport hunting regulations, but it would not be prohibited under your subsistence regulation.

But I could not tell you how that applies statewide. You would just have to go through and make sure that there's a specific subsistence regulation that would allow that harvest to occur.

2.2

 MR. GEASE: So I was curious because there were two points. One, there were specific tools, for example like the ban on brown bear baiting, so would it be accurate to say unless there is something in subsistence regulations that explicitly authorizes brown bear baiting in hunts that we would not be able to follow it because a lot of times there's matching regulations. So that's point one.

 Point two was there was kind of philosophical stuff and we just had another conversation today about one person to another person depending on the area manager and those definitions changed and will there be trickle-down impacts to harvestable surpluses of different animals within the wildlife since we're on the wildlife cycle now.

Are we going to have consistency in harvestable surpluses -- do you see a change in the harvestable surplus for deer, moose, wolverines, wolves, bears, how we're calculating the harvestable surplus that would indirectly impact what's available to hunt?

MR. MILLER: I have not heard any discussions whatsoever in any way that that would impact subsistence users. Again, that might trickle town and impact sport hunting users, but I think the subsistence users would be the least likely to ever be impacted by that. Quite frankly, I haven't heard of any discussions at this point looking at harvestable surplus.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

MR. GEASE: My point being is that there's a difference between an active management inseason management for harvestable surpluses and the new regulations that went into effect expanded the definition I think on the side of conservation. If a State sport hunt is closed, that is justification for an area manager to close a subsistence hunt.

So are the calculations -- we said it would not impact subsistence users. Are the calculations for a harvestable surplus going to change within any of the agencies? It's an important question and it really wasn't clarified. This RAC took a position that we did not approve or support the conditions of changing how we calculated harvestable surpluses I believe when we opposed that. Those regulations did go into effect though.

 So the question is are there going to be changes in how we calculate harvestable surpluses for game management?

MR. MILLER: I don't think that the manager at Kenai could say how it's going to impact it statewide, but for Kenai, no, I see nothing going forward that would impact it on the game side because our first effort would be to restrict the sport hunting harvest before we have any impacts whatsoever on subsistence. But as far as is this going to apply statewide, I don't know because right now we only have in-season management authority for -- I think moose is pretty much the only game that the Refuge Manager has in-season management authority for here.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, Steve. It sounds like we're good to subsist on the Kenai.

MR. MILLER: (Laughs)

 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: So we appreciate that. But I think Ricky's question is very important that, you know, that could change the perception of how it's managed from the in-season manager if it's more of a change. But I like the idea that the subsistence has the preference and it shouldn't change. So if there's something that comes up, we need to be notified. If we could be notified well in advance, it would be good.

MR. MILLER: Yeah. I'm pretty sure

that Andy will be -- you'll be one of the first calls that he would make if he perceives that happening on the Kenai.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I'm sure he will. Okay. Does anyone have a wildlife proposal that you want to introduce here? I don't think we have any.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I know we don't have any. We're going to go ahead and consider the call for wildlife proposals complete.

2.2

We need to move on now to review and approve our Fiscal Year 2016 annual report. I'll let Judy, since she was secretary at that time, talk to it and see if we need any additions or corrections, I quess.

MS. CAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. What we do during our meetings is kind of keep track of issues that we may want to bring up to the Board specific concerns we have. For example, in the past we've written about delegation of authority, as you can probably tell from our earlier discussion.

At our last meeting we had these seven topics that we wanted to bring to the attention of the Board. So this is the letter that Donald pulled together from all of our discussions. If you'll have a chance to read this over quickly, particularly for the folks who were here before, but the new people you may have some perspectives on some of these suggestions too.

I don't know if you've been able to send Diane a copy or not, Donald.

MS. SELANOFF: I do have a copy in front of me, Donald.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead, Donald.

MR. MIKE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The 2016 annual report was drafted from our last meeting. There are a few comments from the RAC members that are not included, but they will be included after this is approved.

For your information, one thing I forgot to mention on item number two, Cook Inlet fisheries regulations. The Council at its fall meeting requested a workgroup be convened to address Federal subsistence regulations and see if -- review all the regulations on the Federal side and see if the Council can provide recommendations to streamline it.

During my presentation on the Kenai subsistence community gillnet regulatory timeline I forgot to mention that OSM and Staff will draft and conduct analysis for a Kenai subsistence gillnet regulatory and see if they can come up with some new language. The Council can choose to keep it. So Cook Inlet fishery regulations is in the annual report. For OSM and our Staff, I think we will work with the Council and see if anybody wants to participate, but that's something for you to think about.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Donald. Yeah, that's a good point. They are going to work on trying to streamline it and all that and make them work together.

MS. CAMINER: Mr. Chair. What Donald was mentioning is kind of at the top of Page 2, this idea of a work group. Just one short small correction or addition I'd like to see is why don't we say State and Federal fisheries biologists are going to be working on it. It is an interagency program, so it would be good to have as many folks represented as needed who can provide the expertise.

Other issues that we're bringing up once again to the Board. As you can see, number three, Delegation of Authority. So we can't update this based on this morning's discussion, but just so you know what's been said before. Sterling Highway improvement, Ricky gave a report earlier and this is reflected here.

We've also talked several times in the past trying to keep the idea of climate change in front of the Board so they can -- of course they're aware of it, but keep that in mind.

And as Gloria mentioned earlier too,

last meeting we did have a concern about the Klutina and Gulkana and the chinook populations. We also brought up the idea of the subsistence community hunt from Unit 13.

The other item that we've talked about many times and I'm hoping we'll get an update on it later is the nonrural policy implementation. This program provides subsistence opportunities to rural Alaskans and the Board has been working the last few years on regulations as how to determine kind of who's nonrural. So that's what that first point is about.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thanks, Judy. Rick, you've got a question.

2.2

MR. GEASE: Yeah, I'd just like to point out in number five, salmon biology and ocean acidification. A lot of times when we talk about ocean acidification we assume that it's with climate change and I would like to point out another vector that might be acid rain coming from industrial countries in Korea and China where members of the population are walking around with masks and breathing apparatus so that they can breathe.

I grew up in the midwest in the '60s and '70s when acid rain was a big issue where the particulate from coal-powered plants in the midwest were moving in a northeast fashion and greatly contributed to the acidification of lakes and impacted a wide variety of biological life and ecosystems in the Atlantic northeast there. This country took actions through the Clean Air Act.

When you talk about global climate change, it's kind of global perspectives and we have one vector with carbon dioxide. However, I think if we just say global climate change and not offer the possibility to open up the concept of acid rain coming from the very industrial countries in Eastern Asia, I think we do disservice to our fisheries in both the Bering Sea and the Gulf of Alaska.

It would be nice to start asking NOAA and other entities managing these fisheries to include in their basic research somehow to look at weather patterns and transport patterns coming from East Asia so when the State Department or other government

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

```
Page 56
     entities talk with China, Korea, et cetera, that this
     is on
 2
     the table as an issue.
 3
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
                                           That's a good
 6
     point, Ricky.
                    I know you brought that up last time.
 7
     We talked and discussed it. It just didn't get in the
     report.
 8
 9
10
                     MR. GEASE:
                                 Exactly.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: But it should be
13
                So we'll make that correction. Does that
     in there.
     sound good with everyone?
14
15
16
                     (Council nods affirmatively)
17
1.8
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
                                            Okay. Go ahead,
     Gloria.
19
20
                                    I just want to say it
21
                     MS. STICKWAN:
     again that we have a concern about the Copper River
2.2
     fisheries and we could add that to the letter.
23
24
     a decline in king salmon and we're very concerned about
     that. We hope they'll protect subsistence and that
25
     would be a priority for us.
26
27
28
                     I still want to talk about that
     Delegation of Authority. It seems to me like we should
29
     be able to comment on the process and I would like to
30
     see a consistency between the Federal agencies and how
31
32
     they make decisions regarding Delegation of Authority.
     Some people have different philosophies, as Tom
33
     Carpenter was saying. That shouldn't be a part of it.
34
35
     It should be subsistence priority first.
36
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay.
37
     points. Gloria has asked to clarify a few things on
38
39
     the Delegation of Authorities and also to add in on the
     Copper River decline into the annual report so we could
40
     do both those.
41
42
                     Is there any others that we need to
43
44
     address here?
45
46
                     (No comments)
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
                                           Okav.
                                                   That said,
49
     do we need a motion for these?
50
```

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

```
Page 57
                     MR. CARPENTER: Mr. Chairman. I move
     we approve the FY2016 Annual Report as modified.
 2
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
                                           Okay. It's been
 5
     moved to approve the report as modified.
 6
 7
                     MR. GEASE: Second.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Seconded by
10
     Ricky.
11
12
                     (No comments)
13
                     MR. CARPENTER: Question.
14
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: The question has
17
     been called for. All in favor aye.
18
19
                     IN UNISON:
                                 Aye.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any opposed.
2.2
                     (No opposing votes)
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: So carries.
25
     will be modified to include your concerns and
26
                 Thank you. Next item U.S. Fish and
27
     additions.
     Wildlife Service, Alaska Native Relations Policy.
28
     Crystal. Is Crystal here?
29
30
31
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair.
                                            Crystal
32
     Leonetti, the liaison for the Fish and Wildlife
     Service, was unable to be present to present this
33
     Native Relations Policy to the Council, but she would
34
35
     like for this Council to review it and share it with
     the public. Comments are due by April 12th.
36
37
38
                     I'll just read the talking points, what
     Ms. Leonetti provided for me for the Council's
39
     reference. The policy was signed in January of 2016
40
     and applies across the nation and specific to our
41
     relationship with Federally recognized tribes.
42
43
44
                     The second document is a draft of the
     companion policy for Alaska. It is titled the U.S.
45
46
     Fish and Wildlife Service Alaska Native Relations
47
     Policy. The two documents are meant to be used side by
     side. It is difficult to define terms and gain full
48
49
     context of the Draft Alaska Policy without the Native
50
```

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

American Policy and thereby for reference.

So in front of you there's two documents. Chapter 2, Alaska Native Relations Policy and the Native American Policy dated January 20, 2016. There are tools that are needed in Alaska specific policy as a companion to the Native American Policy. One reason is because of subsistence. Subsistence is a provision in the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act and the Marine Mammal Protection Act, in the Migratory Bird Treaty Act and Endangered Species Act. Through the access specific to Alaska Native Peoples and therefore we had a need to describe responsibilities to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service employees under them.

The second reason is because we are directed by law to work with Native entities in additional to working with the tribal governments. There became a need to describe our relationship with Alaska Native organizations and to Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act corporations.

Examples of Alaska Native organizations include Alaska Migratory Bird Co-Management Council, the Eskimo Walrus Commission, the regional Native non-profits like Tanana Chiefs Conference and Association of Village Council Presidents.

The Draft Alaska Native Relations
Policy gives guidance to our employees for their
responsibilities and opportunities for relationships to
tribes, Alaska Native organizations and Alaska Native
corporations.

The sections directly corresponds to the Native American Policy including an introduction in the following sections. Section two, sovereignty and government-to-government relations. Section three, communication and relationships. Section four, resource management. Section five, cultural/religion. Section six, law enforcement. Section seven, tribal capacity building, assistance and funding. Section eight, implementation and monitoring. Section nine, scope and limitations. There are exhibits 1, 2 and 3, definitions, overall responsibilities and authorities.

This Draft Alaska Native Relations Policy was developed over the last year with a team of

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

culturally and geographically balanced tribal and Alaska Native corporation representatives. It is now open for public review and we are providing it to the Regional Advisory Council as a courtesy.

The tribal and Alaska Native corporation consultation phase will be occurring during approximately the same timeframe of the public review. The deadline for comments is April 12th, 2017. Comments may be submitted to Ms. Joanne Bryant or Ms. Crystal Leonetti. I provided contact information, which is in the goldenrod paper. You may also give your feedback now or we can take notes.

 We are not asking for Regional Advisory Council action. This is to provide you with information and encourage subsistence hunters and fishers to read it and provide us with any comments they may have. She states thank you for your time.

That concludes my presentation, Mr.

Chair.

Gloria.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Donald. There's a lot there. We're missing a few Council Members that did not get the packet. Gloria didn't get one. Michael didn't get one. Ricky didn't get one and Tom didn't get one. So we're a little short-handed and there's a lot of stuff to review and to comment on in there. It's a couple policies and they're a little different than the tribal consultation. Here's an extra one here. Oh, now we're sorting them out. Here you go, Tom. One for Michael and then I think we've got it.

Okay. As Donald said -- go ahead,

MS. STICKWAN: I just want to add where they said all the Walrus Acts and the other thing, I want to add in that part the MOA between the DOI and the Ahtna AITRC be added into this document upon approval by the Secretary of Interior, if it is approved, that it be added to this document.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. There's one comment we could take, Donald, right there that we would request it be added to that document for Ahtna if it's approved. I realize the Council hasn't had a

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

chance to review this or study it. It's going to take some time for comments. So I'm assuming that we could send written comments to Donald or whatever. I know we're going to need to review it at our nation.

MS. STICKWAN: Can we ask for changes that were recently made? Do you know of any recent changes that were made to this document because it's been online for a while? Has there been any recent changes?

MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair. I am not part of this group that developed this policy. The person to ask that would be Ms. Crystal Leonetti or Joanne Bryant. We don't have any staff here to answer that question directly, but I can give you that information once I find out.

MS. STICKWAN: Did you say there's a deadline on this?

MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair. The public comments are due by April 12th, 2017 and you can send comments to Crystal Leonetti or Joanne Bryant or we can take notes here if you have any particular comments you want to provide. The contact information is in the goldenrod paper that I provided for the Council.

 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Donald, if you would, it was requested here if you get any comments prior to this closing of comment period that the RAC might be interested and if you'd send them to us. We may comment on your comments.

MR. MIKE: Right.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead, Judy.

 MS. CAMINER: Mr. Chair. A couple thoughts, Donald. I'm sure the RAC will want updates on this process. I guess my assumption is this is different from consultation process. It also would be interesting at a future meeting to know if other agencies have similar kinds of policies or draft policies, agencies that we work with.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Does this fit under the new administration? You've got to get rid of two for every one you approve? If so, you can throw

Page 61 these two out and we'll be okay. 2 3 (Laughter) 4 5 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Donald, you can see we're kind of at a little bit of a pause here 6 because it's a lot of information for us to comment on, 7 so I'm suggesting that the board take a minute to read 8 9 them and we can make our comments to Donald or to Crystal directly, not to Donald, and then we'll go from 10 there. Okay? 11 12 13 MR. MIKE: Okay, Mr. Chair. That's 14 fine with me. 15 16 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Is that okay with 17 the rest of the Council? That's good. Okay. 18 At this time I have about quarter to 19 12:00. We've got -- before we get into the Memorandum 20 of Agreement between Ahtna, I think that may take a 21 little bit of time. I was thinking maybe break now for 2.2 lunch a little bit early with the understanding the 23 Council stays for executive session and that we go to 24 lunch. How long we need for lunch? Where is a close 25 place for lunch? 26 27 28 MS. CAMINER: Arctic Roadrunner. 29 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Arctic 30 Roadrunner, is it good? 31 32 33 MS. CAMINER: Yeah. Or Peanut Farm. 34 35 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yeah, we don't have a lot on this schedule, but with that said, I, as 36 the Chairman, unless I'm missing something, I think we 37 could finish up tonight. We do have a 4:30 timeframe, 38 39 but I'd like to finish up tonight if anyone wants to go with their sweethearts or their others. Unless there's 40 a reason to stay for tomorrow, I mean I think we could 41 finish this up. So let's plan on coming back at 1:30 42 and we'll promptly get back to our business and I would 43 44 ask that the Council would stay and we'll do our executive session. 45 46 47 Thank you. 48 49 Thank you, Mr. Chair. MR. MIKE: The 50

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

SOUTHCENTRAL REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL 2/13/2017 Page 62 Council go into executive session now and the public will be requested to leave except for a couple OSM 2 Staff. 3 4 5 Thank you. 6 7 (Off record) 8 9 (On record) 10 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, folks, if 11 we're all ready we're going to go ahead and get started 12 again. I've got 1:30. We like to be prompt when we 13 can, but not always. Hope you all had a good lunch. 14 hope someone else paid for it too. 15 16 17 Anyway, where we are on the agenda right now we're under item 11, the last one, and that's 18 the Memorandum of Agreement between Ahtna and the 19 Department of Interior. So that will be our next item 20 up for discussion here as soon as Donald -- I didn't 21 see him in the back. I would have waited for him. 2.2 Sorry about that, Donald. 23 24 We've got Karen and a couple gals are 25 going to talk to us here. All that information is on 26 27 Page 18 in your books. 28 (Pause) 29 30 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: All of you folks 31 32 that are going to present here shortly for your agency reports, if you had a request to be longer than 15 33 minutes, I haven't seen it. So if you do want one 34 35 before then, put it in, please. 36 37 Go right ahead. 38 39 MS. LINNELL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Members of the Council. My name is Karen Linnell. 40 the executive director for the Ahtna Intertribal 41 Resource Commission. We are going to give you a little 42 bit of history on subsistence and the background to how 43 44 the MOA came into existence and why this charter is so 45 important to that process. 46

So the Ahtna region is roughly about

45,000 square miles or about the size of Ohio.

includes five mountain ranges, two National Parks and

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

47

48

500 miles of the highway and the fourth largest river in Alaska.

This is the land ownership pattern in the Ahtna traditional territory. The checkerboard pieces that you see are Ahtna and Chitina Native Corporation lands. The pink and green are the Wrangell-St. Elias and Denali Parks. The yellow is BLM lands.

Our Ahtna leaders have been managing wildlife for some time. We didn't overfish or overhunt. We had respected traditional hunting areas that were managed by clan or by families and we have a great respect for the fish and the wildlife that feed us. My father used to tell us if we take care of them, they'll take care of you.

2.2

 We had our own way of regulation and we have a quote here from one of our elders who is long gone now. He said the chief would watch what was being killed and regulate how much something was taken and that was a way to ensure meat was not wasted. We've heard this many, many times. Just even recent.

 I'll give you an example. A few years back we were getting an unusual amount of chinook in the wheels. I'm on the north end of the Copper River and my uncle came to visit me and he said how many fish you get, you doing good? I said yeah. How many kings you get? I said, well, I got about six today. How about yesterday? Eight. He said how about before that? And I said, oh, five. He said that's enough now. You shut it off. That was a way to make sure that that resource will get through for escapement and be able to come back in the coming years.

We find a different philosophy than what is done with management now where they say, wow, we have record numbers coming through, let's up the amount that's allowable on each permit. You know, that's a whole different philosophy and taking what they can while they can rather than looking and seeing what's being able to get by. This applies to how we hunt and how we feed our families with wildlife as well.

Our leadership had been involved in negotiations with ANCSA and ANILCA. Roy Ewan was

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

traveling to DC and was part of negotiations then and one of the things that our elders from Copper River told him to make sure to ask for was to protect our subsistence and our hunting and fishing rights.

So up until a few days before ANCSA was signed that protection of that subsistence was in the bill itself and it was just a few days before that they pulled it out of the ANCSA bill because they wanted it to pass and they thought that that was going to be a negotiation, something that would stop negotiations.

2.2

At the time, and this is part of the Congressional Record, we expect that both the Secretary and the State to take any action necessary to protect the subsistence needs of Alaska Natives. So this has been going on since 1971. We know that dual management isn't working. We continue to lose our opportunity to hunt and keep our traditional way of life.

This is one of the parking lots at Eureka on opening day of caribou season in August of 2016, this year. I blew this up on my computer and just scrolled through it real slow. There was 165 rigs there with two and four-place trailers. And that's just at one of the parking lots. We have a lot of impact because of that proximity and being on the highway.

 So we're connected to the major population centers. We have increased hunting pressure from non-resident hunters and other hunters within the state and community hunt groups. We have a limited role in setting regulation even on our own lands, Ahtna lands. We have 1.7 million acres. Over 600,000 of those acres are within a National Park boundary. We can come to the Board of Game or to the Federal Subsistence Board. We have a few minutes at the Board of Game to speak just like any individual in the state of Alaska.

So what we proposed was an attempt at a solution to fix this. Looking at a consensus-based management with tribes, the State and the Feds working together across the landscape, meaning regardless of land ownership, working on wildlife plans that include healthy ecosystems, healthy populations and that people have access and the ability to participate and provide their needs.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

The Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission formed about five years ago now. One of the quotes from one of our elders, Nick Jack, it says you have to have a lawyer and a surveyor to go hunting. I found it interesting just a few days ago when I went to one of our local advisory committees that our nontribal members find the same thing, that — it is funny, two of them said it. Well, you have to have a lawyer to go with you to go out hunting. The other guy says or a surveyor. I thought wow, they think the same thing that we do.

We have another quote from Roy Ewan saying they're regulating us out of our way of life. So the forming of the tribal conservation district was our first step in self-determination. Our tribes and our people have been leading the way in our fight for subsistence for decades now.

2.2

In the picture, the elderly couple in the right-hand side there next to the children, Pete and Annie Ewan, they were members of the Copper River Four. Was it late '70s, early '80s that the State of Alaska -- there was a fish shortage and the State of Alaska came and put padlocks on their fishwheels. That little tiny woman there shot the lock off her fishwheel and asked the trooper what he was going to do about it.

 We feel this is one of the things that helped to trigger ANILCA. Because of that promise that hasn't been kept and they said they would provide for subsistence needs and they turn around and were going to stop subsistence so that the commercial fishery could continue to go. So ANILCA came to pass.

What we're looking at or what AITRC is is we're a partnership of our tribes and our corporation. We're pretty unique in that arena within the state. We have all eight of our Federally recognized tribes and then our landowners Ahtna, Inc. and Chitina Native Corporation. This is symbolized here in our own chart.

The circles are the tribes and the corporations. The square up at the top is an MOA that we have with the Department of Agriculture and with the Department of Interior now. Down below we have our programs. We're looking at wildlife and fisheries management and that type of thing.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

So where do we get our authority. Well, we get our authority from our eight tribes. They provide the tribal sovereignty. And then we have our two ANCSA corporations. They're the landowners.

We also have an MOA with the Kenny Lake Soil and Water Conservation District or now they've expanded their area. They're the Copper Valley Soil and Water Conservation District. They're a State entity that services their collaborators within the Copper River Basin. We have an MOA with Copper River Native Association, which is a regional non-profit that provides health and wellness programs.

We've been actively working on habitat projects and looking at biomass and capacity building for our organization. We were formed to be the regional coordinating body for subsistence and customary and traditional natural resource use and conservation for the Ahtna traditional territory with a core purpose of traditional resource stewardship.

Current initiatives that we have going on, we have a conservation innovation grant with the U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resource Conservation Service, NRCS. We've taken -- and then we have the tribal stewardship planning that we're doing with the University of Alaska and all of our tribes and Ahtna and Chitina Native Corporation to do resource management planning for the entire region tribe by tribe.

We've been doing some moose habitat planning. It started with a tribal wildlife grant through the Native Village of Tazlina that sponsored it. We were contracted to do that and I'll show you a little more of what has been happening with that. And we're looking at biomass planning and integration into our programs and then, of course, protecting our river with water quality sampling and salmon protection.

So our goal is to participate in sustainable subsistence resource management. To do this we need to have strong partnerships and working relations with the agencies and with the State and any others that are stakeholders within our region. One of the ways to do this is through public and private tribal partnerships working across those landscapes.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

Community-based natural resource management creates partnerships, a collaborative process to address issues, it empowers the tribes and the landowners and it creates an ownership in management while recognizing those responsibilities. This balances sport hunting with subsistence. This goes by other names, community-based natural resource management, cooperative management, co-management. The concepts are the same.

So this isn't new. This has been done around the world. We have a biologist and forester working with us, Dr. Bill Well, who actually participated in some cooperative planning and co-management in Zambia. In here the folks are setting quotas for eland, elephant. It's just pretty amazing. But those three folks aren't the only ones doing the planning. They're actually talking to their tribal leaders and the agency biologist to work together to come up with those quotas.

What does this process do? It integrates traditional and cultural knowledge with Western science. We know what we've been talking about and we've been saying some of these things for many years, but we're not speaking the same vocabulary. The meaning behind what we're trying to say is the same. It's just we don't understand each other. We're speaking two different languages, so we're hoping through this process we'll be able to understand each other a little better and being involved in the process will help to simplify the rules.

 So there are some working models of co-management in Alaska with Alaska Migratory Bird Co-Management Council, the Eskimo Whaling Commission, the Nanuuq Commission and there's several marine mammal councils as well. But this also works outside of Alaska as we see in Washington, Montana, Oregon, Wyoming, Arizona.

We got to go visit the Salish/Kootenai in Montana a couple years ago and sit in on one of their co-management meetings where the tribe was meeting with the Feds and the State to set regulation for their game. They were sitting at that table as equals. They not only helped to set that regulation, but they're cross deputized and then they also sell each others' permits.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

So when the State sells a permit for a tribal permit for hunting on tribal land, the tribe can also sell permits on the State side and that funding goes both ways. They keep a record of it and transfer money both ways. But that cross deputization helped with enforcement across the -- and it helps to make funding go farther.

So our traditional way is backed up by science. Like I said earlier, if we don't treat the animal right, what's been taught to us we won't get so easy the animals. If you don't treat anything write, the fishing, you'll never get fish or caribou no more.

One of our elders, Pete Ewan, who was pictured earlier. The Ahtna people know a great deal about the flora and fauna and we've depended on it for generations. It's detailed in the way of knowing of those animals. An example of this is in my fish camp my uncles would come and visit.

I had a biologist intern coming to my fish camp and he'd show up every Thursday about 6:30 in the morning to get otolith samples, the salmon ear bone. He'd sit I don't understand why they're coming that one came from Sloslota. He said what. I said the bigger one, that one came from Batzulnetas. He said how do you know. I said I've been there. I said I haven't been to Sloslota, but I've been to Batzulnetas, I said, but every year my uncle would come and sit with me at fish camp and tell me what stream those fish come out of.

And we have an elder from Chitina area that all the salmon come through there, through Chitina, but he can tell you which one came out of the Klutina, which one came out of the Tonsina and which one came from Batzulnetas at the north end of the river based on the time that they come back and what those salmon look like when they come through there. That's the way of knowing that's been passed down. My grandchildren have that same way of knowing.

So we've been working with on a conservation innovation grant and this is to develop an ecologically-based landscape assessment. We took all the mapping information out there and have it now under one platform and we're trying to develop a 10-year management plan across Ahtna's 1.7 million acres.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

This program initiated a partnership between BLM, DNR, Forestry, National Park Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Department of Fish and Game. Here it is in action. So last February when the governor put a travel freeze out we had 12 Alaska Department of Fish and Game biologists come to the Glennallen area office, our offices, to do a training on moose browse surveys. So they had to get permission from the commissioner to travel to Glennallen. We had a couple folks from the Glennallen office and BLM, but we also had Ahtna's natural resource technicians there as well.

What they're doing is they're learning to speak the same language. So now when we go and talk about moose browse surveys on land that we've done habitat improvement to, they know that we're talking the same language. This building our credibility and building those partnerships even further.

2.2

So we'd like to look at this and work on fire strategy within the region. We've been talking with National Park Service about that. Because of this program Ahtna, Incorporated has actually changed its philosophy on some of the fire if we can work to protect our communities and we can let some things burn so that we create additional moose habitat.

And then of course the next we'd like to do is some population monitoring to see how effective our moose browse projects are. To the west of us we have the State of Alaska doing population trends for moose and caribou with bull to cow ratios and cow to calf ratios. The National Park Service actually counting the animals about every three years or so. And then they have a differing opinion on who's doing it the right way.

So if we continue to do these partnerships we can all start to speak the same language and talk about those populations. The animals don't know land ownership and boundaries. They go back forth and we need to look across the whole landscape to understand what's truly happening with those animals.

And then we're using our GIS information data sharing and I'm going to show you some of our mapping systems that we have. So again this is the Ahtna traditional territory. This is the soils

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

data that's available to date. Because we started this process, NRCS is committed to a six-year program, about \$4 million to do soils survey throughout the rest of the region.

This is caribou habitat in use within the area and this is what we've come up with for winter habitat sustainability index based on information that we've gathered. This is moose habitat use within the Ahtna traditional territory and this is where we think they're summering habitat sustainability index is with the darker green.

The Native Village of Tazlina tribal wildlife grant was to conduct a vegetation analysis on Tazlina land and to develop a moose habitat management plan. We're connecting this to our conservation innovation grant and with that conservation innovation grant, taking that vegetation analysis and moose management program that was developed on Tazlina land and expanding it to the rest of the region.

This is what we got from that. It's a lot of information and I couldn't tell you what it is, but that's the data that went into it. This is the land ownership pattern within the Tazlina area. Here is the wetlands, permafrost, soils, vegetation analysis and you can see there's a clear line of ownership and that was stuff that was done by the Park Service versus what is out there by the State.

This is moose habitat sustainability index for that area, a little more detailed on what we think is good moose habitat.

So there's three ways to make moose habitat; fire, logging and mechanical treatment. We think it's best to integrate all three. Mechanical treatment is a little spendy. Logging for biomass and timber will get little pockets of land, but best to do all three.

It's a little hard to see on there, but that line to the right of the screen there is the fire line between Klutina and Tazlina. This is one on the north end of Glennallen. The pink outlines there are where we think that we can do some moose habitat improvement and get some biomass materials out of there for a pellet mill project that's going on in Gulkana.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

So get biomass for heating fuel, provide some jobs and then create a better fire fuel break around the Glennallen community there.

We've done some try it out and see, working it out and testing what works and what doesn't. This is the Tazlina area before and this is after one year and we got some good willow regrowth coming back in. This is on the Klutina when we had to do some scarification in there for willow reproduction. We had to stir up that moss to get the willow to take off and that was one of the lessons that we learned as we weren't getting enough scarification for good willow regrowth.

2.2

Our next steps to continue with this wildlife management demonstration project to continue work on our food, fuel and fire and jobs piece where we're integrating moose habitat, biomass, energy resilient landscapes and creating some jobs in our communities. To work on population and habitat monitoring strategies and starting to capture some data.

 We do have a Fish and Wildlife Service partnership grant. We're actually advertising and looking for now a social scientist and we hope to have somebody on soon. We're also working on a Community Wildfire Protection Plan or CWPP for three of our tribes now. Two have some already and then we'll do it in a cyclic fashion.

Looking at a Chitina volunteer check station where we gather some citizen data on salmon take there and then continue to pursue Federal or State co-management agreements.

Any questions.

(No comments)

Do you have anything you want to say?

MR. GENE: I'm Christopher Gene. I'm the chair for Ahtna Intertribal. Whatever Karen said, you know, and I'd like to really thank Karen for doing all this study and this presentation here. That's a lot of work she did, her and Bruce Cain. We've got one more board member back here Shirley Smelcer.

When I was in Fairbanks last week doing the Eastern RAC demonstration for MOA and they really liked the presentation we did to them. Even the Chair, Sue Entsminger, she really talked good about this project about the food we eat. And I was in Kotzebue with AFN board when Sally Jewell was up here and she had a roundtable discussion. She had every person speak about what their problem, what they wanted to see while she was there.

That's the first time I seen that on the President's -- you know, she was representing Obama, the Secretary of Interior. She got feedback from each member that was there. I always talk really good about that because it's the first time it's ever happened. Then that's where a lot of stuff came on that Obama put in.

2.2

Like Karen said, we lived in the courthouse. You know,
Ahtna is a profit making corporation and they were paying for all this, you know, for our rights to hunt. It seemed we lived in the courthouse just to put food on the table for our families and stuff. Another thing is we don't hardly use the word subsistence anymore. We use customary and traditional, which is the way it should be. Subsistence, the way it's defined now, I don't think it's true. I don't think every Alaskan is a subsistence user.

My daughters would like to see predator control. You know, up there in our area it's been proven once we get rid of bears and wolves moose come back. That's one of the things I'd like to see.

I don't know how much you've got to do with fishing, but I'd like to see you do away with the catch and release because that's not our way of fishing. You're playing with that game, that fish. They die when you catch and release. If they would count it as harvest, that would be a little better, but I still would like to see that catch and release done away with. It's actually sport fishing is what it is.

There's a few more things that, you know, I really don't like what our -- you know, the way we were taught, like Karen said, you know, about salmon and stuff, we don't overfish, we don't overhunt. They were always moving, our families, before, you know.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

They never stayed in one place. They moved. Trapping, fishing, hunting.

Again, I'd like to thank you guys for having us here. I'd like to thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Karen, Christopher and your other member there. I'm sure we have questions and comments, but that was an excellent presentation.

 I too have lived in the courthouses to provide subsistence and I understand that. I really give you a lot of credit for doing your homework and putting together a plan that could work and you take control of your own lands. I know I've talked with a lot of people in your area and it's really amazing what you've done and where you're working.

2.2

 My concern is on and my question would be on the joint management. It's always bothered me that joint management to me does not work unless you have equal say at that table or at least a voice or a vote. I don't mean a voice. Voices don't cut it. I know that's where we're working.

But as you prepare all your lands and all this information, you've done your customary and traditional uses, now you've got something to back you up. You've got something to say, hey, we could manage this probably as good or better than anyone and we have for many, many centuries.

So I really appreciate it and I'm going to open it up to the Council for questions, but excellent presentation and wish you the best.

Thank you.

Judy.

MS. CAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And thanks, Karen and Christopher. We, unlike Eastern Interior, have been fortunate to hear about the commission and your process for a long time, so that's great keeping us involved.

 $$\operatorname{So}$$  I guess what will be the next steps now? You have the Memorandum of Agreement and there's

a charter now for this committee. Can you walk us through a little bit of what you expect the timeframe to be.

MS. LINNELL: I believe we've got staff here that will walk you through that process. It's new to all of us, so we're stumbling along as well. It's my understanding that this has gone to the Federal Subsistence Board and the MOA is done. It's a done deal. But the charter has gone to the Federal Subsistence Board and they've looked at it and then they're okay with it. We went to Eastern Interior RAC and now to you folks and if you folks are okay with this, then they will forward it on to DC for signature.

In regards to some of what my chairman has said about looking at predator control or biodiversity or that type of thing, we all know that you've got to look at resource management in a balance and you can't tip it one way or the other. There's got to be a balance.

Last week or week before last my grandson went on his first trapping trip. We sent him out with somebody that my uncle had trained. He says, well, we didn't see any wolves. We saw some sign, he said, but we saw some lynx tracks. He got three otter and a couple marten and he's pretty excited about it. He said, but Grandma, you know, Ed said you've got to watch what you get. He said if you get too many females, you've got to stop. I said yeah, yeah.

That's what your Grandpa JC taught him and now he's teaching you. You've got to make sure that the resource is there. Even though the wolves or bear come and take down our moose, we need to make sure that we don't tip that scale too far the other way as well.

I think that's another basic difference in philosophy between ecology and environmentalists, is looking at the balance with man in balance with nature versus the effects of man on nature. You can have it too far one way or the other and we want to make sure that we continue to walk the same path that our elders have and staying in balance with that nature.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Very good. Is there other questions. Go ahead, Ricky.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

river was in the '20s.

Page 75

MR. GEASE: Yeah, great presentation. Thank you. It's very informative. On the fire mitigation management, is that just on private regional corporation land or does that include BLM land, Park Service lands?

MS. LINNELL: When we were talking with the Park, they were open to burn within the Park boundary. Natural. I'm not sure about actually starting some areas. We haven't had a fire in the Copper River Basin since 1981 of any significant size and that was within the Park boundary before it was a Park. The Wilson Camp Fire there was in 1981. I just got out of high school. It was my first fire. It's been a long time.

I think the last time anything was on this side of the

2.2

MR. STEVENS: In the '60s, Lower

20 Tonsina area. 

MS. LINNELL: Yeah. So it's been a long time. One of our elders when he talks he said his dad told him there wasn't very much moose around there until I think the fire went through like 1927 and then after that moose came back and they've learned from that. They used to do a little controlled burn areas.

So that's why we want to look at that.

MR. GEASE: Just a general comment. I mean it seems like the Federal agencies when it comes to fire control will -- some agencies, again, it's a different policy depending on which agency you're interacting with. The Fish and Wildlife Service has a different perspective than the Forest Service than the Park Service. It would be nice in Alaska to get a consistent viewpoint of fire mitigation management where it's just not, well, if a fire happens, then we'll let it burn. We've all heard that.

But taking active steps and active management for fire mitigation in Alaska for habitat I think it's -- when we talk about cooperative stewards it's great to have things on private property, but also on the Federal lands, being on the Kenai Peninsula, we witness that, you know, these very large fires with some fire breaks. The only reason why our community I think is still standing is because we have a very large fire break on the north end of the Kenai National

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

Wildlife Refuge. It's 100 yards wide and about 10 miles long. That was the fire break. That fire would have came into our communities if that fire break hadn't been there.

As you go forward, my suggestion would be to look proactively towards both -- you know, if you're doing things on your own properties there, that's great, but also find some encouragement to not just let it burn philosophy. Some agencies will take structures and do some fire breaks around structures, but it's not that big of a stretch to go on and to do it for habitat also.

MS. LINNELL: Thank you. That is part of our planning and what we're trying to do. We have a wildlife urban interface grant and we're doing community wildfire protection plans for three of our communities and with that in mind. We need to set some boundaries and lines of protection around those communities before we move forward with anything like that.

We have been looking at and talking about doing something in the more remote areas and that's why within the Park boundary and talking with the park that they're willing to allow us to do something like that on that side of the river. On the Wrangell-St. Elias when I say Park. We have two Parks. Not so much on the Denali side. But that's what we've been talking about, at the Wrangell side.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Other questions for Karen or Christopher.

(No comments)

 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Carl were you going to come up and talk to us? Thank you very much. I just want to make one comment while Carl is coming up here. Sometime on the predator control, you know, we, as traditional customary use, were some of the better controllers. I know on a lot of our Native land we had to get some of our best hunters and trappers to help us out with that balance and it works.

Thank you.

Go ahead, Carl.

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Again, for the record, Carl Johnson, the Office of Subsistence Management. Mr. Chair, Members of the Council. The materials that I'll be discussing begin on Page 18 of your book.

I'm going to give you essentially a quick overview of the MOA, but I'm not here really to talk about the MOA. I'm here to talk about what follows, which is the charter for the local advisory committee that's connected to this.

This is kind of forming themes in this Memorandum of Agreement between the Department of Interior and the Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission. First of all, recognizing the unique government-to-government relationship between the Federal government and the Federally recognized tribes that are part of that Tribal Resource Commission.

2.2

 Secondly, I think this is pretty significant, is not only the recognition of the value of traditional knowledge with regard to customary and traditional practices, but a recognition of its importance and sort of elevation of its role in management.

Next, another main theme is this Memorandum of Agreement is strictly related to wildlife. It's not about fisheries. It's just about wildlife and both sides, both parties to the agreement recognizing the importance of managing wildlife populations for sustainability.

Finally, essentially recognition that things just aren't working right now. I'll key in to particular language that's in the background objectives. The Department recognizes that special circumstances within the Ahtna region have not permitted these local residents to meet their subsistence needs.

That's kind of a theme you see throughout, which is, I think, an importance of understanding why there's this effort to kind of set up this unique situation, this unique management opportunity.

So those are the general themes. There

are four specific sections. The first section contemplates a community permit managed by the Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission. The MOA calls for either rulemaking or delegation of authority to accomplish that goal.

The second part, and I'll get into more detail, is the formation of a local advisory committee that is compliant with the Federal Advisory Committee Act. That's the same Federal statute that governs the procedures for public decision-making that governs this Council, the Regional Advisory Councils for the whole program.

The third section essentially calls for future cooperative development of management policies and programs related to wildlife in the region.

The last section calls for funding commitment from the Department to implement this Memorandum of Agreement.

Again, my focus is on Part B under the statement of the agreement, which is the formation of the local advisory committee. Now just a little quick background. When Title VIII of Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act was passed, it was conceived that the State of Alaska would be implementing this part of the law. As we all know, that didn't happen.

But the language in the statute called for both the creation of Regional Advisory Councils and local advisory committees and said that if the State local advisory committees weren't working, the Feds could set up local advisory committees to help to interact and make recommendations with the Regional Advisory Councils.

Now, as we all know, that didn't work out and we have a system in place where there are State advisory committees, but they're not really part of the Federal Subsistence Management Program because we had to evolve through a separate State and Federal management. But that language still exists and that language exists in the regulations that the Board -- or the Secretary has delegated to the Board had to go through a public process, one that was going to form a local advisory committee under Section 805 of ANILCA.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

So the Federal Subsistence Board underwent that process by having a public meeting in Anchorage on January 13th or provided notice that there would be a discussion and opportunity for people to come in and testify about the formation of this Ahtna local advisory committee.

Now the regulations that have been adopted from ANILCA also require that the affected Regional Advisory Councils be given the opportunity to provide recommendations tot he Board on the establishment and membership of Federal local advisory committees. So that's why this is before this Council now and also was before the Eastern Interior Council last week.

 That is one of the steps that we're going to go through here is to get your input on the establishment of this committee and it's membership.

Now this brings me a little more specifically to the provisions of the charter. The charter begins on Page 38 of your book. Now you're going to see a lot of the language in here is similar to the charter that is for your own Regional Advisory Council. So what I'm going to do is just focus on the provisions that differ from the Regional Advisory Council charters.

While this local advisory committee, which will be called the Ahtna Customary and Traditional Subsistence Local Advisory Committee would be its name. While this committee does interact with the Federal Subsistence Management Program, it is formed under Section 805, its objective and scope of activities is a bit different and it's more specific because of the mission of the Memorandum of Agreement, which is to incorporate customary and traditional knowledge of the Ahtna Region, greater participation with people from the villages of the Ahtna Region into wildlife management. That's why you'll see this language is a bit different in that section compared to yours.

Additionally, the description of the duties are, in some cases, more narrow than that of the Regional Advisory Councils. Most Regional Advisory Councils are empowered to discuss both fisheries and wildlife issues, policies, management, et cetera, in

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

their region. Whereas here it's focused on those issues related to wildlife and specifically within what's been defined as the Ahtna Traditional Use Territory.

There was a map up there earlier that had a red outline. That is the map that will go with the charter when it's submitted and that is the area that we're talking about when we talk about the Ahtna Traditional Use Territory.

2.2

Now some differences, again to recognize this special relationship from the Memorandum of Agreement, you'll see in paragraph D, Collect, gather, and disseminate Ahtna traditional ecological knowledge. Again, this is distinct from the Regional Advisory Council Charters because this is one of the focuses, one of the purposes of this committee is to help to gather and disseminate traditional knowledge.

Then finally you'll see a little bit of how this committee will interact with the program in that this committee would provide recommendations to the Regional Advisory Councils as well as to the Subsistence Resource Commissions for the two National Parks that are within the Ahtna Traditional Use Territory and also the Federal Subsistence Board.

Now some of the questions you may have will be, well, specifically when would this local advisory committee make recommendations to the RAC and when would make recommendations to the Federal Subsistence Board. That part we're not entirely clear on because this is the first time in the history of this program that Section 805 has been invoked to form a Federal local advisory committee. So we're still kind of figuring out how that interaction would occur.

Lastly, one of the requirements of a Federal advisory committee is that there is a balance of membership so that the people who are members of that committee are there to adequately represent the balance of interest affected by its recommendations. So you'll see on paragraph 12, membership and designation, a membership balance specified and this comes from language in the Memorandum of Agreement.

 $\hbox{ It would consist of a total of 11 } \\ \hbox{members, six of whom are nominated by the Ahtna}$ 

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

Intertribal Resource Commission. One each from the two Regional Advisory Councils and the two Subsistence Resource Commissions. One nominated by the State of Alaska. All members still have to be appointed by the Secretary of the Interior.

Again, given the purpose of this committee to incorporate both traditional ecological knowledge and kind of interact with existing management structures for both the State and the Federal programs, that's why this kind of conceived balance of six from the AITRC and five from other affected entities was contemplated in the Memorandum of Agreement and then also in this Charter.

2.2

Now the process of approving this Charter and getting this committee operating is going to be somewhat lengthy. When we file this Charter, there's about seven other documents, as you can imagine. When you get anything approved in D.C., there's going to be a lot of other documents related to it. A lot of those documents kind of mirror similar language in the Charter and the MOA, but basically provide the justification for establishing this committee, provide the justification for the membership balance and how that membership balance will help to achieve the goals of the committee and within the requirements of a Federal Advisory Committee Act.

One of the other things that has to happen is even after the Charter is approved in form, there still has to be a notice in the Federal Register that this committee is being formed. As you heard earlier, we're on hold right now for publishing any Federal Register Notices until further notice.

So it's somewhat unknown as to how long this process will take. Typical, when I researched a couple years ago, the fastest any Federal Advisory Committee had ever been formed with Charter approval and appointments was about three months and that was not during a new administration transition. So I would guess probably a minimum of six months is how long it would take to get the Charter approved and maybe a Federal Register notice published.

So that's essentially the overall of my presentation. I'm happy to entertain any questions from the Council at this time.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Carl. Tom, do you want to start out. You've got a question?

MR. CARPENTER: Yeah. Thank you, guys, for your presentation and helping clarify some of that since it's a new process. I have a couple questions. Where does the funding come -- is this funded through OSM, the advisory committee process, if this Charter were to be adopted and signed? Maybe touch on that first and I've got another question.

MR. JOHNSON: Certainly. Through the Chair. So the Memorandum of Agreement, that fourth section under Article III, calls for a -- it states there's a commitment from the Department to provide funding to accomplish the goals of the MOA and that would include this local advisory committee. The most likely avenue for that funding would come through the

The Staff support for it would come from the Office of Subsistence Management. We don't know at this point in time whether or not those funds will be allocated. We're in somewhat of a budget uncertain future world at this point.

Federal Subsistence Management Program.

MR. CARPENTER: I guess that was where I was kind of headed. Obviously the State of Alaska's coffers run dry and obviously the OSM budget has been cut significantly over the last several years. I was curious where the appropriation was going to come from to fund this basically an expanded service, which OSM already provides. Anyway, I guess that will get hashed out in the long run.

Secondly, I'm curious if you think this is going to lead to many more groups wanting to form Federal Advisory Committees. Obviously this will be the first one at least in the Southcentral Region. If that were to take place, is there any sort of boundary that has been set up to, A, how many could be formed, does it have to be regional? Do you understand what I'm saying? Is there any boundaries there?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  JOHNSON: Through the Chair. The guidance that we rely on, both Section 805 and the

regulations, don't specify any boundaries like that. Section 805 does prescribe a specific relationship between local advisory committees and making recommendations to Regional Advisory Councils. So it depends on the need, on the motivation, on the support.

I mean this particular committee comes out of a very specific executive action to promote these types of cooperative management situations between tribes and the Federal government. There was very specific support from a past administration on this particular issue.

2.2

So it's conceivable that a local advisory committee could cross Regional Advisory Council boundaries, you know, be between two different subsistence regions. It just depends on the need and the purpose of that local advisory committee.

MR. CARPENTER: Then my final question is I thought it was interesting, and correct me if I'm wrong, you said that we're not sure exactly at this time if this advisory committee is going to report to the RAC or does it go directly to the Federal Board. I guess the reason that that kind of concerns me is I think that most things in Southcentral should come before the RAC if it's going to be presented to the Federal Board. I'm curious because the Federal Board shows the RACs deference in certain situations. Is it also going to show deference to this committee and has that been hashed out.

 MR. JOHNSON: Through the Chair. Thank you for that, Tom. That's one of the things I neglected to mention in my initial presentation. Per the language of the Memorandum of Agreement, the local advisory committee will not receive the same deference that Regional Advisory Councils do. Its recommendations will be given significant weight, but because Section 805(c) is very specific to the language that we rely on for giving deference to the Regional Advisory Councils and Section 805(c) only refers to Regional Advisory Councils. So the local advisory committee will not be given the same deference.

Now to the first part of your question, and that is when this local advisory committee would interact with the Regional Advisory Council or the

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

Board, the Charter prescribes that it would do both. It would give recommendations both to the Regional Advisory Council and to the Federal Subsistence Board. When that happens, when the distinction is made, is something unclear.

I mean one thing that seems logical to me -- and again this is just trying to think about how this could work, would be, for example, if this local advisory committee had submitted a wildlife proposal that was unique to the Ahtna Traditional Use Territory and was pursuant to the specific purposes of the Memorandum of Agreement it might seem sensible that that local advisory committee would present its recommendations directly tot he Federal Subsistence Board.

2.2

But if it fell within a Game Management Unit that residents of the Southcentral Region had customary and traditional use determination for it, then, like any other wildlife proposal, this Council would also provide -- it would have an opportunity to make its recommendations on that proposal.

MR. CARPENTER: Okay. Thank you very

much.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Gloria.

 MS. STICKWAN: I had a question about land management authority. Agencies still have land management authority within their -- like BLM and NPS, they would still have management authority over their lands?

MR. JOHNSON: Through the Chair. Yes, this Memorandum of Agreement does not affect any existing legal obligations or authority that managers have. It can't override the statutory obligations that Park Service and BLM have to manage their land.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Judy.

MS. CAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Maybe it's a follow up to Tom's question. Tom, what I heard at the Federal Board meeting when this was being discussed was -- I believe the reason this was set up was because of this very unique situation of so many non-resident hunters being -- I shouldn't say

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

non-resident, but so many non-local people, would that be....

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Customary and traditional.

MS. CAMINER: People who at least under the Federal program don't have customary and traditional hunting sort of because it's road connected. So what the Solicitor's Office said at that meeting was unlikely there would be other similar agreements, they really see this as a very unique situation.

 Then a follow up to you, Carl, if I could. So is OSM preparing a Federal Register notice or it will be your responsibility to get this set up if you will or drafted?

2.2

MR. JOHNSON: Through the Chair. Yes, I'm working on all of those. There's about eight different documents, including the Charter, that are required for formation of a new Federal advisory committee. I'm preparing those and we'll be getting those off to D.C. as soon as we go through our review process at OSM.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Judy.

MS. CAMINER: I guess one other process question, which I think will be important when we need to decide on future dates of meetings. The system seems to work best if the SRC can meet before us and we have their recommendations and then have our deliberations, but it would also seem now once this new local advisory committee is set up that if the committee is able to meet even before that, it would just seem like a good progression to make sure we have all the appropriate local input before we get to see proposals. So that just might affect how we schedule our meetings.

MR. JOHNSON: Through the Chair. That's an excellent point. Having members from both SRCs and both Regional Advisory Councils serving on the committee will help to keep that connection. Again, right now, all Federal Advisory Committees have to have what's called a Designated Federal Official, which is a fancy word for your Council Coordinator. So it's

likely, as Donald indicated earlier, that there will be somebody from OSM that would provide that connection. Right now I can see Donald as being a logical person for that.

But we would have those connections. You'll see from region to region what kind of interaction there is between SRCs and the Regional Advisory Councils and different advisory committees. So if it's the desire of this Council to schedule its meetings after the Ahtna local advisory committee has met, then that would be something that we could try to help coordinate.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead, Gloria.

MS. STICKWAN: Is it possible for you to explain what you meant by if it affects other people it will be brought before this RAC. Can you be more specific about that.

MR. JOHNSON: I'm not sure I understand what you're referring to.

MS. STICKWAN: I think you said proposals would be brought before this RAC if it affected other users. Could you be more specific.

MR. JOHNSON: Okay. I believe the example I said was if this advisory committee were dealing with a proposal that was targeted specifically to the Ahtna Traditional Use Territory and for the purposes of the Memorandum of Agreement it would seem illogical for this local advisory committee to make its recommendations directly to the Board, but the Regional Advisory Council, if it affected people who were Federally qualified users in their region, they would also have an opportunity to provide a recommendation on that same proposal.

MS. STICKWAN: I guess you're not being specific enough for me because I don't understand what you're saying.

MR. JOHNSON: So, say for example if you're looking at the map, the Ahtna Traditional -- again, the one that's in your book is on Page 42. Now this isn't correct, this is an earlier map, it's not the one that's ideal. It's not the official one that

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

we're going to be submitting with the Charter. But this helps to hopefully define the discussion.

If there was a proposal that Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission submitted and it was for the purpose of the Memorandum of Agreement, so it was for something within the Ahtna Traditional Use Territory, then if it fell within part of the Southcentral Region, the Southcentral Council, if it's a Federal wildlife proposal and it affects users in your region, the Southcentral Regional Advisory Council will have an opportunity to make a recommendation to the Board.

But the Ahtna customary and traditional subsistence local advisory committee, which is the new committee that would be formed by this Charter, would also have the opportunity to make a recommendation on that proposal and it's conceivable that that would be one time where their recommendation might go directly to the Board.

Again, this is the first time that a Federal local advisory committee has been formed, so we're still kind of figuring out how it will connect. The Charter contemplates it connecting in all directions. The Charter has the local advisory committee making recommendations to the Secretary, to the Board, to the SRCs, to the Regional Advisory Councils. So there's a lot of different kind of connections that this committee could make with Federal subsistence management in this region.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Well, Gloria, I hope that that was helpful. I understand where you're coming from because it's not ironed out and it's a little bit questionable how it's going to work. I mean we really don't know. But I understand too what you're saying, Carl. You're saying that most likely if it covers our duties, we'll handle it. Something direct to Ahtna's Traditional Territory, it may go other ways. We'll see.

Tom.

MR. CARPENTER: Carl, this is just a suggestion. I think that needs to be hashed out. I think whoever the determining factor is there, the Solicitor's Office, I don't know who's going to make

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

the final determination, I think that needs to be very specific because that could cause a lot of confusion in the long run. I'm not sure what your take on it is, who's going to make that final determination, but I think that's pretty big.

MR. JOHNSON: Through the Chair. I completely agree. We still have a lot of uncertainty about this, whether or not this committee will even exist. It's still subject to approval. Just the concept of the committee is subject to approval by D.C. The membership balance is subject to approval. How it interacts with the Federal Subsistence Board will be subject to approval. Pretty much everything that's in that Charter once we hand it to D.C. it's out of our hands.

2.2

There's a lot of times in the past years when we've tried to add things to the Charters for the Regional Advisory Councils they haven't been approved in D.C. even though they would have in many ways helped to improve some administrative issues on our end. They really do expect boilerplate language to be boilerplate in D.C. So whenever there's deviations there's been some resistance in the past to any language that deviates from a typical Charter.

So it's uncertain, but I agree with that. One potential is the Federal Advisory Committee Act does provide for the opportunity in addition to a Charter for there to be an establishment of bylaws that governs the governs the operations of the committee. None of the Regional Advisory Committees have bylaws because it's something we really haven't had a need to implement.

What we use instead is what we call our Regional Advisory Council Operations Manual which basically tells you how we do things and how things work. But that is one mechanism where you could potentially specify the times in which this committee would advise directly to the Board, directly to Regional Advisory Councils. It's definitely something we will figure out before it gets implemented. That's for sure. Probably the guidance for that would come from either the Solicitor or D.C. or both.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead, Gloria.

MS. STICKWAN: And this will all be in the Federal Register so people will be able to comment on it, right?

MR. JOHNSON: Through the Chair. The Federal Register notice is very limited in specificity. It doesn't give exact examples on how the committee will operate. It just basically says that one is being established, what's the purpose of it, what the membership balance is, but a lot of that is I imagine left to a lot of the specifics of how Federal Advisory Committees operate.

2.2

One, to the individual agency guidance, what the agencies have. Each agency that administers Federal Advisory Committees has its own specific guidance as to how it will operate. Also, a lot of it is also left to the bylaws for those committees that have them.

So the Federal Register notice most likely would not have that level of specific details as to when and how this committee would make recommendations on Federal subsistence regulations.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Judy.

MS. CAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So, Carl, you're showing us the draft Charter now and we're giving you some feedback. Will the Charter be finalized soon or that would only happen afterwards?

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you. Through the Chair. So the draft Charter is finalized. Essentially the language in the Charter is a mixture of what's required by law by the Federal Advisory Committee Act, and the specific goals of the Memorandum of Agreement. So the language has been predetermined essentially from those two sources.

What the feedback is that the Federal Subsistence Board seeks is recommendations just on whether or not this should be established and recommendations on a membership balance. Even though the membership balance does come from the Memorandum of Agreement, the Memorandum of Agreement states that it is anticipated that the members will be as they're prescribed here in the Charter. So it's conceivable

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

that that could change, but the goals of Memorandum of Agreement state the membership balance that's in the Charter.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead, Gloria.

MS. STICKWAN: You said there was a hold by the government because it's a transition period. Do you know when that's going to be lifted? Do you have any idea?

 MR. JOHNSON: Through the Chair. We have no idea. I am told that during the last administration change we were authorized to issue notices again I believe it was sometime in June, but different administration, different goals, different methods, different objectives. It's hard to say. It's really impossible to project at this time.

2.2

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. We really got in detail on that one. We took something that was pretty -- anyway, we've got a lot of unanswered questions, but very good concept.

So my question, Carl, now, we are here at the Council, we've heard it, we've debated for quite a while. So I'm ready to move on to the point of we support the concept of this draft, is that correct? And we need the Council to act on that, is that my understanding?

MR. JOHNSON: That is correct, just on the establishment and the membership balance, but I also think that Ricky has a question.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: It's okay. We're talking to you right now. I'll see him in a minute.

MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, so essentially the regulation again provides the Regional Advisory Council an opportunity to make recommendations on the establishment and the membership balance of a local advisory committee. So any feedback the Council has at this time is welcome, but as with any recommendation, a specific action and a motion by the Council is always welcome.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Ricky, go ahead.

MR. GEASE: So I just want to bring a few points up here because I want to know if there's been a shift in subsistence management in Alaska and I just want to read a few things here to clarify because I heard from the presentation there was a talk about the difference between ecology and environmentalism, we had talked about recognized principles of wildlife management and we had talked about predator control.

If you look at the statement of agreement, it talks about subsistence taking of wildlife species including moose, caribou and other culturally and traditionally harvested species on Federal lands. The agreement talks about regulatory processes for the conservation of healthy populations and public safety. It talks about the objective to provide maximum opportunity for the continuation of a hunting way of life and the right to self-determination.

2.2

Does that mean that we now are entering a timeframe where we have a philosophical shift in Alaska of how Federal agencies look at predator control and specifically looking at putting in hunting regulations for an active management for two species like wolves and bears or is this just restricted to a component of the hunting way of life and not the totality of a hunting way of life?

MR. JOHNSON: Through the Chair. A similar answer to the one I had earlier for Gloria regarding land management and that is the Memorandum of Agreement can't override statutes. Right now the Federal Subsistence Board's predator management policy is based on the definition of subsistence use in Title VIII of ANILCA and this doesn't change that. So any Federal subsistence management related to predators won't change as a result of this Memorandum of Agreement.

I would say that a lot of the language that you're referring to there is it's definitely an understanding between the Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission and the then department. I can't speak to what the current department will say about that. It is an understanding of principles, but those principles still can't override the statutory obligations that the Board and the various land managers have for their obligations on how they manage wildlife.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

MR. GEASE: Well, it seems to me since I've been associated with the RAC the number one concern voiced by people coming here and talking about healthy populations, whether it's moose or caribou or other populations is predator control. I think that it is a huge chunk. We're in, what, 35 years now into the subsistence management in Alaska. When we talk about dual management part of the dichotomy there is on Federal lands, which are two-thirds of the lands in Alaska, we are not doing active management for, quote, apex predators and on State lands we are.

It has to do with wildlife fire management also. We're on State lands and hopefully that's changing, but both on Federal lands we're, you know, don't touch anything until it starts burning, that we find more agreement. Those two things are huge in wildlife populations in Alaska. I'm glad to hear there's more proactive management on fire, but that seems to be on private lands, not necessarily on public lands. The predator management continues to be an issue.

If we're truly going to respect Native populations in the state, that was a traditional management concept within Alaska Native culture any place across the state and it continues to confound me why we have the Feds saying we'll have different ways of actively managing predator populations than the State and the Native communities in the state. That's just a dichotomy intention that I don't see being resolved here.

 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and speak to that. I don't think we're trying to resolve that here. I think we're getting a little off course, but let's get back. I think his comments are well taken there. There's something that needs to be looked at, but that's definitely not where we're at here.

Let's go ahead and -- Judy.

MS. CAMINER: Mr. Chair. I'll like to make a motion that the Regional Council support this Charter and encourage that the formation of the new advisory committee take place as quickly as possible given our general circumstances. That doesn't have to be part of the motion, but that it be formed as quickly

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

```
Page 93
     as possible and that we will support by at some point
     naming someone from our Council to be part of the
 2
 3
     process.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Is there a second
 6
     to that motion.
 7
                     MR. GEASE:
                                 Second.
 8
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
                                           Seconded by
     Ricky. Discussion. Go ahead, Tom.
11
12
                     MR. CARPENTER: I would hope that some
13
     of the comments
14
     that we made this afternoon in regards to some
15
     potential conflicts with this advisory committee being
16
17
     formed. I think they should be looked at and rectified
     if possible so that there's nothing that the people in
18
     D.C. can use to stall or halt or deny. It seems like
19
     this has been worked on for quite a while and I think
20
     if you take those recommendations and modify it, I
21
     think this has a lot of positive things that could come
2.2
     out of it in the long run.
23
24
                     Anyway, that's all my comment.
25
26
     call the question.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
                                           Gloria's got a
     comment before you call the question.
29
30
31
                     MS. STICKWAN:
                                    I just want to say that
32
     we both have the same main objective and that's to be
     conservative on the wildlife. Karen said that more
33
     than once. So that's something we're looking at
34
35
     seriously is being conservative with the wildlife. I
     think working together will -- having somebody from
36
     this Council on that I think it will work together and
37
38
     I think it could work well together.
                                           I don't see a
39
     problem with it.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
                                           Thank you,
     Gloria. Tom, did you want to call the question.
42
43
44
                     MR. CARPENTER:
                                     Yeah, question.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
                                           The question has
47
     been called. All in favor of the motion as presented
     and seconded signify by saying aye.
48
49
50
```

Phone: 907-243-0668

Page 94 IN UNISON: Aye. 2 3 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any opposed. 4 5 (No opposing votes) 6 7 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Motion so carries. We support it and we'll work on what we need 8 9 to appoint when the time comes. Thank you very much, Carl and Karen and everyone else. 10 11 Great discussion. 12 13 MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair and 14 Members of the Council. Again, I appreciate your 15 patience and us not having all the answers at this 16 17 point. It's very new territory, but we will certainly keep you up to date at each of your meetings and in 18 between about any developments. 19 20 Thank you. 21 2.2 MS. LINNELL: I too would like to thank 23 24 you, Mr. Chair and Council Members. We're looking forward to working with you folks and doing what we can 25 and what's best for the resources out there. 26 27 28 So thank you so much. 29 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you very 30 much. I have 3:00 and I would like to take a five 31 32 minute break and then we're going to come back to agency reports and move on to the next item. 33 34 35 Donald has something. Go ahead. 36 MR. MIKE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 37 38 just got notified that there's one item that we 39 neglected to add into our agenda. I need one member to call for suspension of rules so the Council can adopt 40 this particular item and take action on it. It's a 41 letter from the Kodiak/Aleutians Regional Advisory 42 Council on the subject of the published Federal 43 regulations for Alaska National Wildlife Refuges non-44 subsistence take of wildlife and public participation 45 46 and closure procedures on National Wildlife Refuges in 47 Alaska. 48 49 The Kodiak/Aleutians requested that 50

Phone: 907-243-0668

Page 95 Fish and Wildlife Service has failed to recognize the concerns of the Councils and impacts of these 2 regulatory actions on rural subsistence opportunities. 3 Therefore the Council requests to relay these concerns 4 to the Secretary of Interior with a request for the 5 Secretary to withdraw the regulations. 6 7 I'll just go ahead and I'll pass these 8 9 around and then when we come back from break we can request a suspension of the rules and adopt this as 10 part of the agenda and take action on it. 11 12 13 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 14 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. You heard 15 Donald read that. It will be totally up to us if we 16 17 want to suspend any rules and take it up. Go ahead and look at it and we'll come back in five minutes and 18 we're going to move on. 19 20 21 Thank you. 2.2 (Off record) 23 24 25 (On record) 26 2.7 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Council Members. I told them five minutes. We're ready to go here, 28 29 guys. 30 31 (Pause) 32 33 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: We're going to go ahead and get started again. Gloria is still in the 34 35 hall. She'll be in here shortly. I think we're ready to go. 36 37 38 Just before our break it was asked that 39 potentially if we wanted to suspend the rules to take an action, but I've been further informed that in 40 regulation already, so I don't believe we need to take 41 any action on that item. 42 43 44 Is that correct, Donald? 45 46 MR. MIKE: (Nods affirmatively) 47 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Donald is shaking 48 his head, correct. 49 50

Phone: 907-243-0668

MR. MIKE: Yes, it's already in Federal Register notice and I think it's close to being published, so it's up to the Council to take action on this if they want to show support of this letter and insert the Council's name on this letter. It will go forward to the Federal Subsistence Board.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Carl is coming running to the scene, so we've probably got to do something. Go ahead, Carl.

2.2

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So if you look at the last paragraph, the specific ask of the letter is actually for the Board to forward to the Secretary or a request from the Councils to rescind that regulation. So even though the regulation is already finalized, what this letter basically intends to do is to inform the new Secretary of the various concerns raised by the Regional Advisory Councils during the previous public rulemaking process that led to the final regulation.

So the attachments would be all the various letters that the Council sent. So there is a specific ask to rescind the regulation, which of course would require more public rulemaking to accomplish, but that is the request. It's not just to inform of concerns but to remove the regulation.

 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Carl. So we did object to the regulation before, is that correct?

MR. GEASE: Correct, we objected to the regulation, but this would be going a step further, which I think would be appropriate.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. It's up to the Council if someone wants to move to suspend the rules and take it up.

MR. CARPENTER: Mr. Chairman. I move we suspend the rules and allow the addition of the letter to Chairman Christianson and allow that to be added to our current agenda.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Is there a

```
Page 97
     second to that motion.
 2
 3
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Second.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Seconded by Andy.
 6
     Any other discussion on the matter.
 7
                     (No comments)
 8
 9
10
                     MS. CAMINER:
                                   Question.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: The question has
13
     been called. All in favor aye.
14
15
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any opposed.
18
19
                     MS. CAMINER:
                                   Aye.
20
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: There's one
21
                  The rule stands suspended. We will take
2.2
     opposition.
     this up. We may as well take it up right now and deal
23
24
     with it. The floor is open for comments on it.
25
                     MR. GEASE: Mr. Chairman.
                                                I believe
26
     this letter is in line with prior action taken by the
27
     Southcentral RAC on this issue. It's asking for one
28
29
     additional movement to the Board to relay these
     concerns to the Secretary of Interior and I believe
30
     it's appropriate.
31
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
                                            Okay.
                                                   Go ahead,
     Gloria.
34
35
                     MS. STICKWAN:
                                    I don't know if it's
36
     possible. Can we add National Parklands? That's in
37
38
     statute, I guess. I don't know.
39
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
                                            Say again.
40
41
                     MS. STICKWAN: Just adding National
42
     Parklands to this letter.
43
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
                                            Okay.
                                                   Carl.
46
47
                     MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
     The Kodiak/Aleutians Council was just asking for
48
     endorsement from the other Councils on just the
49
50
```

Phone: 907-243-0668

Page 98 Refuge's final regulation, not the Park Service. this Council wanted to express concern about Park 2 Service final regulation, then my suggestion would be a 3 4 separate letter. 5 6 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 7 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thanks for that 8 9 clarification. Gloria. 10 I was just thinking we MS. STICKWAN: 11 should add Tetlin Wildlife Refuge as well. It's in our 12 13 area. 14 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: It covers it. 15 16 Thanks. Go ahead, Ricky. 17 18 MR. GEASE: It might have been an oversight from the Kodiak RAC since they don't have 19 National Parks on Kodiak, but it would be appropriate 20 in our region where we do have National Parks to 21 incorporate the suggestion from Gloria. 2.2 23 24 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yes. 25 MR. GEASE: That is a motion to amend 26 27 the motion that we're talking about. 28 29 MR. CARPENTER: Second. 30 31 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, let me get 32 this correct. We've got a motion on the floor to support it, we've got an amendment. 33 34 35 MR. GEASE: We just have a motion on the floor to approve the letter. The amendment is to 36 include the National Park Service in this. 37 38 39 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. There's a second to the amendment. You seconded it, Tom. 40 41 MR. CARPENTER: I did second the 42 amendment, yes. I'll call the question on the 43 44 amendment. 45 46 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: The question has 47 been called on the amendment. All in favor to add the Park Service on there ave. 48 49 50

Phone: 907-243-0668

Page 99 IN UNISON: Aye. 1 2 3 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any opposed. 4 5 MS. CAMINER: Aye. 6 7 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: One in We passed the amendment. Now the motion opposition. 8 9 on the letter. 10 Mr. Chair. MS. CAMINER: 11 12 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead. 13 14 MS. CAMINER: I think it would be 15 useful to clarify what concerns we have about this. 16 17 The impacts of these regulatory actions on subsistence and subsistence opportunities. I think we should be 18 specific. What are these impacts that we are worried 19 20 about just to be clear. 21 2.2 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Ricky. 23 MR. GEASE: I think at our fall meeting 24 when we did this we had a pretty good discussion about 25 why we supported this, so can we reference our comments 26 from the fall meeting or do we need to go through that 27 again? 28 29 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: We can do that, 30 correct, reference our stuff from the fall meeting? 31 32 33 MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair. Well, as noted, essentially this letter is just a cover letter 34 35 to forward to the new Secretary previous public comments that the Regional Advisory Council submitted 36 as part of the rulemaking. Any deviation from that 37 38 would create complications to have all 10 Regional 39 Advisory Councils to endorse the same letter. We've already had one Council endorse the letter as is and 40 then if each Council modifies it along the way, then 41 not every Council will have an opportunity. 42 43 44 Again though my suggestion is if you want to deviate from this letter, to then transmit your 45 own letter that provides additional comment, whether 46 47 it's to reflect your fall discussion, because that is after the rule had been published. 48 49 50

Phone: 907-243-0668

So if you want to take the opportunity through the Federal Subsistence Board -- again, you can't send a letter directly to the Secretary, that's prohibited -- but through the Board relaying those concerns as part of additional support to rescind the regulation and then you could also then include such discussions about Parklands since that does impact your region, unlike Kodiak/Aleutians.

any more.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Can we stir it up Do you understand it now?

MS. CAMINER: I think so.

MR. GEASE: A respectful comment since this is just going to the Federal Subsistence Board. I think they will get the point if we have a couple different letters. One including the Parklands. I don't think we need to go in much more detail than that.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: We've got an amendment to add the Parklands, correct? That's passed. So that leaves us with this letter.

MR. CARPENTER: Mr. Chairman. I call the question on the motion to forward this letter to the Federal Subsistence Board.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. The question has been called. Are we ready to vote on the original motion to forward it. All in favor aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any opposed.

MS. CAMINER: Aye.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: One opposition. So we amended we, we approved it and we forwarded it and we had one opposition. So carries.

MR. JOHNSON: Just to be clear on the record though you didn't amend this letter. You are sending a separate letter and you endorsed this letter as submitted by the Kodiak/Aleutians Council.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: That's correct.

I hope someone gets that right. Okay, Donald, no more suspension of rules. We're going to go ahead and move forward.

Next item of business is agency reports and the first one is tribal governments. Go ahead, Ivan.

MR. I. ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Members of the Council. I'll try and be as brief as possible. I do have a few things to go over to report on. For the record, my name is Ivan Encelewski. I'm the executive director for the Ninilchik Traditional Council, Federally recognized tribe in Ninilchik.

A few things to report. Since this is a wildlife meeting, I'll start off just kind of giving you a few quick things. Most things going on in the Ninilchik community have to do with moose hunting. We have an early hunt, a late hunt and then a cow hunt that we've adopted through various proposals over the years and those are going real well as you guys know and have heard over the years. The access to the lands are limited, so the harvest is kind of small. We've worked really well with Andy and Todd down there at the Refuge to set a limit for the cow. I don't think we've even met the six cow allocation for 15C over the years.

The anecdotal evidence obviously from Ninilchik is 15C has done pretty well for the moose, for the bull in that area. Anecdotally I think it's done real well. A lot of our members harvested a lot of moose this fall in Unit 15C. So things are going real well. I don't think we really have any issues.

We do have a brown bear hunt, as you guys are aware. Talked to Andy quite a bit about that. There's some issues with bear baiting obviously. Bear baiting has a very, very high success rate. One of the concerns that we have with the issuance of bear baiting is a lot of our subsistence users -- some of them are bear baiters, but a lot of them want to have opportunistic hunting and I think our concern is that over the years when you have State management where the pendulum swings so far one way to the other.

You know, the brown bear population was up on the Peninsula, so the State opened up the harvest

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

of brown bears, one every year, so then all of a sudden now you have bear baiting, you have a lot of brown bears being harvested. So potentially that swing is going to come back to where there's a population concern. The opportunistic subsistence harvesters who are not bear baiters are going to be impacted because, of course, all of a sudden the regulations will have to be shut down.

So we do see some concerns in that area. I know Andy has quite a bit of concern with the brown bear population, but we definitely want to maintain the opportunity for subsistence harvest opportunistic. So that's kind of a little bit of an issue.

We don't have any proposals that we know of at this time. For the most part on the wildlife side we seem to have a pretty good working relationship on the Refuge, so I don't have anything really to report there.

A couple quick reports on the Kasilof FP17-09. Again, thank the Council for supporting that unanimously. That proposal was considered by the Federal Subsistence Board in January. It was denied. Essentially the only portion of it that was approved was changing the season dates. Obviously we're very concerned with that outcome.

One, we were concerned with the outcome because during the deliberations the Federal Subsistence Board did not articulate clearly their rationale for not giving 805 deference to this RAC, so that was not mentioned as a rationale. We do intend to file a request for reconsideration on that issue. Not only for the lack of legal deference to the RAC, but also on new information because, as we'll report later, there was a settlement agreement on the Kenai which allowed us to remove the operational plan and name Ninilchik Traditional Council as the permittee for the implementation of the fishery.

Two things that the OSM and the Federal Board denied, which is kind of in our -- you know, looking at it, it's like how can you do one and not the other, you know. If the Fish and Wildlife Service is going to accept removing the operational plan and naming NTC, why would you go to Kasilof River, which

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

doesn't really have any real highly documented conservation concerns and not allow it in that area.

So we do have some concerns with that action by the Federal Subsistence Board of which we'll pursue our request for reconsideration on that.

I won't belabor the Delegation of Authority a little bit, but that's a big issue that we've been working with at the tribe and mentioned it earlier. I just kind of want to give the layman's analysis of why it's an issue for us.

2.2

 We talk about frameworks and if you take like the State management system, the Board of Fish adopts, you know, like -- they have rules for commercial fishing, say for setnetting. It says, you know, you can setnet during this time and whatnot, but they also have a clear management plan that says if the early run, the late run escapement goals of chinook salmon are this, then this plan moves into effect. Then they do an analysis of what is the return going to look like. If the return of sockeye salmon is going to be this high, then this plan kicks into effect.

So there's these different parameters that the in-season managers have to dictate how they can open it and some of the things that kick in if there's conservation concerns that are limiting the ability to open emergency extra fishing time, things like that.

So when we see that on the Federal level for the Delegations of Authority, they don't have any of those parameters. There's no parameter that says, you know, if X escapement is not met, you know, sport fishing will be reduced first or no circumstance will Federal subsistence fishing be shut down before sport fishing.

So that's really what we're trying to get to, is to work with the Federal Subsistence Board so there's just not that carte blanche authority by an in-season manager. You know, the in-season commercial fisheries manager for the State doesn't have the authority just to open and close. They can only open and close within those parameters that are set by the Board of Fish within those constraints that say you can only open for 36 extra hours this time.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

So giving them a little bit more parameters -- and the reason the rationale for an example like this is that so we don't get stuck with being closed. For example, and we reported this in October that we harvested -- we fished for two and a half weeks with our gillnet. We harvested one chinook salmon. So why would our fishery be closed down to conserve conservation for king salmon. But it might make sense to close down sport fishing for hook and release or retention when they're specifically targeting in that same water. That might make more sense.

So those kind of things should be developed as the Delegation of Authority, not just one thing that says you can manage the fisheries how you see fit, but you can manage those fisheries within certain parameters, frameworks as we call them. So that's kind of where we're at with that.

2.2

Lastly, the big issue that was mentioned earlier was FP17-09 or 17-10, which this Board had approved. We did go through a rigorous process of working with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. We've come to a settlement agreement. We're very happy to work with that agreement. We met twice with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Bureau of Indian Affairs. The highlight of that was trying to develop a meaningful fishery for the subsistence users and at the same time providing conservation for the resources. We think we met a really good balance in that respect.

I did talk a little bit at the Federal Subsistence Board meeting. The Federal Subsistence Board adopted the settlement agreement unanimously 8-0 at their meeting. So I think it was a really good opportunity. I want to talk a little bit about the premise of that agreement. Donald mentioned that he was going to forward that information. It's public information that was passed around at the Federal Subsistence Board, but I think it's really important to get on the record.

So the key elements of the principal summary agreement was that Ninilchik residents be given a meaningful opportunity to use a single gillnet that would essentially eliminate any potential conflicts with existing regulations. We've talked a lot about

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

that. We want to target sockeye and coho with a net to be placed in shallower nearby waters.

The early run chinook must be protected, so what we talked about is we eliminated the early in-season in June. So the fishery would not start until July 1st and there would be no retention of chinook until the 16th of July unless the optimum escapement goal was met for the early run chinook.

So, in other words, we're not going to fish in June. If we do fish July 1st to 16th, we're not going to be able to retain any chinook. They'll be live releases unless the minimum optimal escapement goal is met for the early run chinook. There will be a conservation or retention for Dolly Varden and rainbow trout.

2.2

So we talked about there will only be 100 rainbow trout encounters. I call them encounters, but we've changed the language in the special action to be different verbiage, to be retained or released. So at no time will the community gillnet ever be able to encounter more than 100 rainbow trout or 150 Dolly Varden.

So at any time during the entire season for when it's fishing even if they're live released that counts. So at no point can we consider that the gillnet would encounter more than those two thresholds. As you know, there's thousands of encounters in the sport hook and release for Dollies and rainbows, so that's a really conservative measure to provide that the fishery can't even encounter those.

We talked about one of the issues that we had was with Cooper Landing that had concerns over the annual harvest limits and competing interest for Ninilchik and Cooper Landing and Hope. Those will be eliminated through the special action request, which will be adopted this summer and through the permanent regulations.

So there will be no -- the annual limits are gone. It's just household and individual limits. So there's no such thing as 4,000 cap for sockeye that we have to share between Ninilchik and Cooper Landing. So we can't say that we're going to get the fish before they will or they're not going to

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

get their fish. That will be gone. Everyone will be allowed 25 for head of household. Five for each additional member. So it's really a nice way to eliminate that conflict between the different communities.

The last part we talked about was we're going to be -- only 200 potential late run kings. So at no time would be able to go over that whether they're encountered or retained. So the fishery would have a potentially later season, September 10th to 30th, which would eliminate that time for the chinook staging area from the August 15th, so we'd basically just be targeting silvers.

And then in that July 1st to 15th the slot limit will still be in play so that we would not at any point be retaining any fish no matter what if they are within that slot limit. That's another further protection that U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service wanted to because that early run harvest.

So really that's -- I know Donald mentioned that the jack salmon won't count. So 72-hour reporting. Fish and Wildlife Service is going to work with us on an area to do that. Really it provides a nice opportunity to get this fishery, which we've been working on for 15 years in place.

In summary, it provides not only a meaningful fishery for us, but a conservation-minded fishery when you take into consideration we can never encounter more than 50 kings in the early season, 100-150 rainbow-Dollies respectively, and 200 late run chinook.

So really we have unanimous support of the Federal Subsistence Board as you're aware. There's a public meeting on the 24th. One of the discussions we had is that the implementation of this -- we'd hoped to implement this through the current existing proposal FP17-10, but because some of these were not part of the consideration of that proposal they had to be done through a rulemaking process and through a special action request. So we had a little bit of push-back, but we worked out the agreement with Fish and Wildlife Service on the implementation of that and I think it's a good opportunity moving forward.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

With that I think that pretty much covers the majority of the Ninilchik-specific issues and from our tribe.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Ivan. You've got one minute left. You did very good, 14 minutes. I do have a question for you though. Getting back to the wildlife proposals, you mentioned six cows. I'd like to know what you did with the other two. They gave us eight.

MR. I. ENCELEWSKI: Mr. Chairman. Maybe it was eight. I apologize for that. I don't know how many were actually harvested and I don't intend to try and put numbers in the Refuge. Todd usually gives you the numbers. I can say that we've never taken our allocation.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Anyway, thank you. Questions for Ivan. A good report. A lot of you probably if you needed more of the details, there's a lot of details on that settlement agreement, but it was unanimous. It was a good deal. I think you've covered that pretty well.

Questions. Judy.

MS. CAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Just a comment. You're right, Ivan, it's been a very, very long path. I'm glad it's going to work out.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Ricky, go ahead.

MR. GEASE: Just a question. So on the Kenai there's a million sockeyes that come in. There's probably 50-100,000 coho come in and you got 20 days in September. S would these new caps then allow you to go 25 coho? I mean how are you going to -- I mean there's dramatically a lot fewer coho. How are you going to distribute those? I may be wrong, but I don't see that that's going to be a big -- you know, filling permits with that, you're going to have much less success than filling permits with sockeyes.

MR. I. ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Mr. Thank you, Mr. Gease. That's a good Chairman. So to keep in mind that the way this works, question. you know, when you think of a community gillnet, we still have to get permits from individuals, so we're fishing basically for these individuals. Last year we almost got to the point where we were almost filling on the sockeye side those permits that we had available. Maybe it was 20 or so permits. So we can still only fill what the limit is for that individual person, whether it's the household 25.

11 12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

10

2

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

> I think for the chinook it's going to I'm not sure. I'd have to look at the be a lot less. Federal regulation. I think that the individual household limit for chinook -- it's 25, I believe, for sockeye, but it's quite a few less for coho. only going to be able to fill whatever valid permits for coho we have. So I don't think we're going to take too many coho in the late season based on the fact that we're not getting an allocation for a community allocation.

2.2 23 24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

34 35

36

37

We still have to apply for those individual permits that we have. So that's keeping in mind that, you know -- and when we're talking about getting rid of those annual limits, yeah, it's nice because we don't have to worry about allocation between communities, but we still have to make sure we only can serve valid Federal permits from each individual, so that restricts us quite a bit.

32 33

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I don't know, maybe someone could answer this, but doesn't that household limit count? I mean they're both not cumulative. They don't add up to each other. I believe they're salmon. So if you take all reds, you get no silvers.

38 39 40

41

42

43 44

45 46

47

48

MR. I. ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Mr. Yeah, the way that the -- my understanding is, and I wish I had it in front of me. It's right back there I'm sure -- well, actually this is a wildlife meeting. It's specifically 25/5 for sockeye and I can't remember, maybe 10/2 coho. But they're not cumulative. You can't -- my understanding is that you can't take, you know, a Kenai allocation. Basically once you get your fish from one area you have to count it towards the other. So it's not going to be a

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

Page 109 separate thing. 2 We're trying to keep it to make sure 3 4 that this isn't used in excess or anything or that they're getting from this river and then from the 5 community gillnet and then from this. The community 6 7 gillnet is just a mechanism to help get their allocation. It's not, you know -- but I could be -- you 8 9 know, I'm not the rules expert. 10 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I'm not either, 11 12 but that's the way I understand it. You only get one permit, one household limit. 13 14 MR. I. ENCELEWSKI: Yeah, one household 15 limit. 16 17 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Other questions. 18 19 20 (No comments) 21 2.2 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Ivan. 23 MR. I. ENCELEWSKI: The last thing I 24 want to say just real quickly and I forgot to mention 25 is that we read into the language at the Federal Board 26 some of our rationale for the settlement agreement and 27 I just want to reference that back to the Federal Board 28 at the January meeting and also I want to thank 29 Kenaitze Tribe on record for their support, unwavering 30 support over the years. Without their support it 31 32 wouldn't be possible for a lot of this too. I forgot to mention them at the Federal Board, so I want to 33 thank the Kenaitze Tribe. 34 35 Thank you. 36 37 38 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Ivan. 39 Any other tribal governments here, tribal reports. 40 (No comments) 41 42 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Native 43 44 organizations. Is Eyak here? 45 46 (No comments) 47 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: U.S. Fish and 48 49 Wildlife Service. They're going to do one on the Cook 50

Phone: 907-243-0668

Page 110 Inlet area Federal subsistence fishery report. 2 3 Go ahead, Donald. 4 5 MR. MIKE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Cook Inlet fisheries report you can find a summary on 6 Page 43. I believe Jeff Anderson is online if you have 7 any particular questions on the fishery report for 8 9 2016. 10 Thank you. 11 12 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: 13 Thank you, Donald. Any questions for Jeff online. Page 43 has 14 the breakdown of the Kenai, Kasilof, Tustumena and a 15 few others there. Jeff submitted it. 16 17 (No comments) 18 19 20 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Jeff, you win the award for the shortest report. Thank you. 21 2.2 23 (Laughter) 24 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: National Park 25 Service. He had a presentation. I was going to skip 26 27 him. It's Forest Service. Come on up, Milo. 28 29 MR. BURCHAM: Thank you, Chair and board and new members who I haven't had a chance to 30 meet personally yet. My name is Milo Burcham, 31 32 subsistence lead for the Chugach Forest and I have here with me my counterpart from the Kenai, David Pearson. 33 We're going to try to keep it short. Our normal 34 35 reporting a little shorter than we usually do so we can update you on a project that we started. 36 37 38 With that I want to introduce the 39 Forest Service members that are here. I just showed you David Pearson, but in the back we have my District 40 Ranger Robert Skorkowsky and Tom Whitford, the Regional 41 Subsistence Lead. They're available for questions. Tom 42 said if you had any budget questions he'd be happy to 43 44 answer those. 45 46 Also I'd like to introduce, I think 47 he's listening online, our new Patrol Captain John Brinley, who couldn't make it today. He replaces Chris 48 Lampshire, who was tragically killed last summer in a 49 50

Phone: 907-243-0668

plane accident.

But I just wanted to say and recognize how supportive law enforcement of the Forest Service has been for our subsistence program, both enforcement on the ground and implementing this project that we're going to talk about and other things like deer pellet transects that we do. Anyway, I want to thank John for the contribution that law enforcement has provided in the past.

I guess I'll get right to what we normally do and if no one has any burning questions, we'll mostly skip over it and that is questions on permits or harvest or conservation issues in our local areas. If there's nothing burning, I think we'll skim past that. Does anybody got anything there?

2.2

(No comments)

MR. BURCHAM: Okay. Deer harvest I'll mention because Andy approached me at the break. We did have an extended deer season. His proposal that passed last year through the month of January for one buck. I guess there was some discussion about that it includes all rural residents and not just residents of Prince William Sound. We had a quick discussion about that.

 Is there anything else you'd like to bring up, but it's at your latitude if you'd like to see that changed and if you'd like information on participation or harvest as that information becomes available, if that would guide you in developing a proposal or whether you saw the need for one, I'd be happy to work with you on that.

MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Through the Chair. Thank you very much. Initially it was brought to my attention. I'm looking at this all rural residents thing. The intention of that proposal was Unit 6D or Unit 6 residents, not quite all rural residents. It came to my understanding that some Hope people wanted to go out there in January and try to get one buck, so that's two. I think it's statistically irrelevant and not so much of an impact. If that doesn't form any conservation concern, I don't see a reason why not just leave it at the all rural residents.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

If you get this harvest data and more comes in, I'd love to hear what the harvest is. I can't imagine it's going to be that big.

MR. BURCHAM: My impression from law enforcement reports that there was interest certainly in our office and we got calls about the new change to regulations. People that were in the field saw some activity, but actually very little. I haven't heard of a deer harvested. I'm certain something was, but I haven't heard of a harvest that was related to that.

There was interest from Cooper Landing and that kind of -- yeah. Somebody flew out to Montague. I assume that hunt happened. I guess I'm not positive, but there was some interest. There's quite a few barriers to participating in that hunt in January as we're aware.

2.2

The other thing I'd like to talk about before I get to this is Forest Plan revision. We're revising the Chugach Forest Plan. I'm on the planning team, actually chained to a desk for three weeks living in Anchorage, trying to complete that in a timely manner. I was lucky to be allowed to come here briefly today.

Anyway, that planning effort is going on. They're expected to have a draft environmental impact statement out by this summer and there will be opportunities for review when that comes out and we'll keep the Council involved.

Is there any questions there.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Ricky.

MR. GEASE: As part of this planning process, is there an evaluation or reevaluation of current trails within the Chugach specifically in our ranger district?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.\ \operatorname{BURCHAM}:$$  By your ranger district do you mean Seward or.....

MR. GEASE: Yes.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  BURCHAM: Everything was assessed at the beginning of this process and I can't speak

specifically to trails. There's already been rounds of public comments on issues, but there still is time -- and those have been incorporated into the draft environmental impact statement and the resource reports that evaluated recreation. The proposed action and the alternatives that are being developed have incorporated those. If you still see a need to comment, there is additional opportunity.

I hope that answers your question.

MR. GEASE: Yes.

2.2

MR. BURCHAM: With that I'll get to this. I think I have to stand up and advance the images here. Would you do that? Thank you. So we're all familiar with the Fisheries Monitoring Program that exists within the subsistence management. That's funded in the Forest Service for projects, but wildlife management not so much. I'd like to thank my supervisor Robert Skorkowsky for making some money available to start a project on an area where we had a resource concern and that's black bears in Prince William Sound.

You can go to the next one. Anyway, there appears to be a resource concern there. This is boats and trailers going through the Whittier Tunnel. With the opening of the Whittier Tunnel, lots more people had access to the Sound. Harvest of black bears climbed to over 500 animals a year in the 2005, '06, '07 time period. Harvest has started to drop off in the most recent years to less than 100 animals for the last couple of years. We don't know much else about the population outside of this harvest information.

Did you start to raise your hand?

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yeah. I just had a quick question while you were there. It dropped off. Was that because of the hunting pressure taking them down, there's not as many? Is that part of the reason?

MR. BURCHAM: That's the most logical assumption. Yeah, that's the most we can say. But something is going on with the bears and we want to begin to look into it.

I want to say real quick that there's a

C&T for black bears for residents of Unit 6C and 6D, so Cordova, Tatitlek, Chenega qualify for black bear hunting in Prince William Sound Federal regulations.

Anyway, we got some money together. Through a Forest Service vessel that we have on district had a work platform, the boat Tenacious here, which made working in the Sound much more affordable than say a typical helicopter darting at operations or something like that, which would have made getting collared sample of bears too expensive.

I want to compliment my cooperator in this project and that's the local Alaska Department of Fish and Game biologist Charlotte Westing. Between her and her resources, the expertise at Fish and Game for handling animals and monitoring animals and analyzing, bringing statistical analysis to this, and us and our resources, we've kind of pooled together and jumped over a lot of hurdles and got a project off the ground.

Next. With that, the goals of our project because of our means are relatively modest. These are the first things that we're trying to look at and one is to evaluate the effectiveness of a ground-based capture operation versus an aerial one to get a representative sample of black bears in Prince William Sound. We want to look at habitat use preferences, but specifically look at the vulnerability of bears to shoreline-based hunting pressure since most hunting pressure in Prince William Sound takes place from boats.

We want to see if there's differences between males and females using the shoreline. There's been people who have said there's really not a conservation concern for bears in the Sound. They just got smarter and are not using the shorelines or males aren't using the shorelines anymore. That's something that we think we can get at, plus other things like time of den emergence, you know, from when males become available versus when females become available and that sort of thing.

Then the last is if we have a good enough sample of female bears in our radio-collar sample is to look at productivity and survival. Look at how many cubs radio-collared bears produce and then look at the persistence of those cubs, how long they

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

survive and also survival of our radio-collar bears in general.

 Next. So, with that we got a project off the ground and it was pretty exciting for us. I just can't state enough that there were mountains that had to be moved to get this thing going, but by having the Fish and Game as a partner we got it done.

 It started out by setting up bait sites. We started work this summer on a high harvest density area, which is Esther Island. We set up bait sites and put camera -- we signed the locations to warn people and we also made a lot of field contacts with mostly commercial fishermen that were working in the area. Then we set up trail cameras at these bait sites to evaluate how often they were being used and to inform where best to put our traps.

2.2

Next. Because of this huge drastic drop in the harvest and these reports of people not seeing many bears, we didn't know what to expect, so we started looking at our trail cam data to see what was out there and actually we were really impressed and it kind of took some of the pressure off right away because we started seeing bears. You could just scroll through some of these pictures kind of fast. These are the kind of results -- there's a bald eagle with a black bear right underneath it.

Next. There's a female with two cubs. Then just go through these fast. That's a black bear just rolling in the bait there. So, anyway, we got hits relatively quickly and that was encouraging. We baited a week, two weeks, and one week before we started our trapping sessions and then we went in and set traps. This is what our traps look like.

Thanks for your patience, Tom, because he's already been through this presentation at the advisory committee meeting.

We set traps. These are called M15 snare sets or a modified Aldrich snare sets for bears. You put a five-gallon bucket in the trees and bait goes in it and these are set in the areas that we've already attracted bears to through baiting. A snare goes around the entrance, the hold to the bucket there and there's a bait on a trigger arm in the back and there's

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

a spring on top of the bucket that's not unlike a 330 Conibear spring. It's a quite powerful spring that when its trigger is pulled, it snatches the snare tight around the wrist of the bear.

Next. And this is a trail camera picture of a bear actually being caught. If you look real closely, there's a snare around the wrist of this bear. It was pretty interesting. This bear didn't react very much. Some other bears I think did. We didn't get a lot of pictures in the moment of capture, but this one is kind of interesting. The bear just sat there and actually looked around for a little bit.

Next. This is how bears often looked when we approached. Actually a lot of times they were bedded down and resting. We tried to get to the snares as quickly as possible and check them often so bears didn't have to stay in the snares very long.

2.2

 Next. A little hard to see, but this is Charlotte approaching I think our biggest bear right here, an over 300-pound male that's in a snare to dart. She's approaching it to dart it.

Next. Once we got a bear on the ground, tranquilized, we went to work and we were collecting samples. Here we are taking measurements.

Next. The most important aspect of this project was to get collars on animals. Next. This is some of the things the collars did. I guess I won't go into details. They're GPS satellite collars. Five locations a day they collect and they transmit them to a satellite every other day. So in the season when bears are out and about I'm getting an email from each bear every other day with locations on Google Earth map and also a spreadsheet with the coordinates and everything, which is pretty fascinating and a big change since I last did a telemetry study about 15 years ago.

We're collecting samples from bears to make the most use of the animals we're handling. We're getting their weight, blood, tissue and hair samples to inform State isotope analysis, the research branch of Fish and Game is going to be looking at. We're getting two samples to look at age and then just getting morphological measurements like length and height and

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

head length and width and things like that.

So what happened last summer. We're actually pretty happy how things went. We caught 25 bears. The first trapping session -- we had two 10-day trapping sessions. We caught seven the first time and we were a little nervous about that, but we caught 18 in the next 10-day session. Twenty of these bears were large enough to fit radio collars on and that included a sample of 13 females and 7 males.

Here's what's amazing. From the bears that we collared from mid-summer on, we already have collected 8,000 locations. So we're starting to look at it. We can't really start any real analysis towards most of our objectives yet, but anyway we're starting to look at how to handle that volume of data.

2.2

 The first goal we can't completely say we're through with yet because we don't know how representative these bears are caught on shoreline versus those caught in other methods for evaluating habitat use or something, but we're very happy with being able to catch the size sample that we did.

Next. And then real quick. This is Esther Island. This shows where we established bait sites. We got this down especially with the help of law enforcement to be able to set and re-bait and then check trap -- well, not trap, but be able to set these bait sites within just an overnight trip, two days to Esther Island. We could get to all these bait sites and freshen them up.

 Next. Then through trail cam observations and other observations these are the sites that actually ended up being trapped. Then this is where our sample of bears came from. You can see a number next to each mark.

Next. These are the scatter of all the points that we've got from bears so far. Yellow is male and blues are the females. Next. On this map is a scatter of the points that show a different color for each individual bear. Next. That just shows these convex polygons that shows the home range of the bears we have for just half a season. This doesn't show the whole home range of any of these bears just yet.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

Next. So what's next. Next summer we plan to go to a low harvest density area, an area that has received much -- that has had a much lower level of harvest in the past and presumably a lower bear population and that's Night Island is what we've selected.

Actually I'm open for input from people that have spent more time on Knight Island than Charlotte or I have as far as observations of where you've seen a lot of bears, what might be good places for sets and trapping. We're leaning towards working on the west side of the island because it's a bigger place than Esther and working on the bays on the west side. Anyway, we'd be open to information that we get from anybody on the Council.

2.2

Next. Here is Charlotte and I with a tranquilized adult female bear. Next. For questions, feel free to contact myself or Charlotte. I have to footnote this picture here. This is a Photoshop, a little creation here. We didn't walk around with our bears. It's a trail camera picture of two bears coming to a bait site and then when we checked the camera later with no bears around got a picture of us. I had fun with that.

Thanks.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: That was very good, Milo. There's lots of bears there it appears. There's a few more than we thought, huh?

MR. BURCHAM: We don't know what there was before. It's just a start to look. We're very impressed with how readily we were able to catch our sample though that's for sure.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Questions.

 MR. GEASE: So, Milo, with the hair samples are you doing any genetic sampling to see what population estimates -- I know they did that on the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge. Are you filing something like that?

MR. BURCHAM: We would like to, but it's beyond our means right now, so we're not. With that said, Shawn Farley with Fish and Game Research

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

Division put in for a grant for a much larger, more comprehensive project that will take place on the Southern Kenai Peninsula and in Prince William Sound. They're not going to use hair snares, but they're looking at biopsy darting of bears from helicopters.

It's a proposal at this -- well, they are funded, they have a proposal, they're still working their way through it. It might not start on the ground in Prince William Sound until the fall of 2018 potentially. They will get at that type of information that that hair snaring project on the Kenai did, which is estimating populations.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any other questions. Eleanor.

MS. DEMENTI: I don't know very much about bears since we don't hunt them or eat them, but I was wondering about those traps. They don't hurt the bear?

MR. BURCHAM: Do very little. The arms or bodies of bears are really well furred, which is a layer of protection basically. These are 3/16th-inch cable snares, so it's not like a tight little single wire snare. Most bears we saw no injuries on. Some had some level of abrasion from the cable and a few others had cut up their claws a little bit was the worst injuries that we saw from this. It's always a risk. It's at the front of our minds in this whole process.

I guess I didn't describe how often -- I think we got through that slide too fast. We visually made sure we walked to every snare at least once every day and we also had a radio transmitter on each trap. So if it was disturbed, even sprung without catching a bear, it would send a faster pulse rate that we could receive from certain areas. We, with our radios, checked the traps many times a day.

There were some bears that sat overnight, maybe as much as 10 hours, but I think the average time a bear was in a snare was probably closer to six, I'm guessing, but six hours or less. Oftentimes when we'd check snares bears were bedded. We saw places where they laid down and hopefully were comfortable for most of the time.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

Page 120 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Other questions. 1 2 3 (No comments) 4 5 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you much. 6 7 MR. BURCHAM: Thank you very much. 8 9 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. National 10 Park Service, Barbara. 11 MS. CELLARIUS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 12 For the record, Barbara Cellarius, subsistence 13 coordinator for Wrangell-St. Elias. I believe that Amy 14 Craver, who was here earlier, distributed to you a 15 report about the Telida place names workshop that 16 17 Eleanor described a little bit earlier. Amy had to 18 leave, but she just wanted me to mention that she had distributed her report. 19 20 I'm going to start with our Backcountry 21 and Wilderness Stewardship Plan. I've talked to you a 2.2 lot about that, so I'm not going to say a whole lot 23 24 about it today other than we did put a proposed action out for public review the summer of 2016. We're making 25 some changes based on the comments received. 26 27 28 The next step in the process is going to be the release of a newsletter outlining the changes 29 that were made. A draft environmental assessment is 30 not anticipated until the fall of 2017. Earlier we 31 32 thought we might have a draft environmental assessment by sometime this summer, but we're taking some more 33 time, so it will be at least this fall before we have 34 35 the environmental assessment. 36 Bruce Rogers, who is our planner, would 37 be happy to answer any questions and he will be at the 38 39 SRC meeting and talking to the SRC members a little more about where we are with that. 40 41 Then I'm going to move on to a report 42 that was also distributed today. It's our subsistence 43 report and I'm just going to hit some of the 44 highlights. 45 46 47 We are working on a Dall sheep program with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game to look at 48 ram energetics, survival and dispersal under different 49

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

harvest management regimes. That began in October. Kind of the same time that this project is going on there's a NASA-funded snow modeling project. Between the two projects we'll be able to look at how snow conditions affect sheep movements and habitat use. Once we get the project finished up I'm sure we'll get you more information about what we've learned.

We hope to do a wolf survey sometime this winter in the range of the Chisana Caribou Herd. That's part of the Chisana Caribou Herd Management Plan and this is a cooperative effort between the Park Service, Fish and Game and biologists in the Yukon.

2.2

We were unable to do our Unit 11 moose population survey. We try to do a moose survey in Unit 11 every three years and we didn't have adequate snow cover to do that this fall. There was a composition count for the Chisana Caribou Herd on October 8th of 2016. There's some details there about the ratios of bulls to cows to calves. The thing I will mention is that those ratios exceeded the minimum management thresholds for continued subsistence harvest of that herd as outlined in the plan.

The second page just has information about the Federal subsistence hunting permits and then a couple of projects that we've got going on. We finished a community harvest assessment in Northway, which was a joint project with Fish and Game and that was completed at the end of last year. That's an Upper Tanana community, so in Unit 12.

I'm working with the University of Alaska Fairbanks on a ethnographic overview and assessment that's looking at hunters, trappers, miners and homesteaders. That project will probably finish up at the end of this year.

The third page has some harvest data for the Federal subsistence registration permits in the Park. We did this year have our first harvest under the winter moose hunt. Everything else is actually fairly consistent with previous harvest levels.

Our fisheries biologist has given me some information from our two weir projects, so that's on page four. There's a description of the weirs. One is at Tanada Creek, which is in the north part of the

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

Park off the Nabesna Road. It's one of the headwaters of the Copper River. The other weir is at Mile 45 on the McCarthy Road in the Chitina River drainage. If you look at page five, there are weir counts for the Tanada Creek Weir, the Long Lake Weir and then a comparison of the information from the Miles Lake sonar. I know the Tanada Creek Weir is funded through the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program.

The bottom of page five is a list of some of the projects. I'm going over this kind of quickly. If you have questions about something in particular you want me to talk about something more, just let me know. In terms of what we're working on this summer for fisheries projects in addition to the two weirs we're working on a burbot project in the Upper Yukon River drainage. Also a burbot project in Grizzly Lake, which is in the Copper River drainage. There's a project in Copper Lake and then as we have money available we continue to work on our freshwater fish inventory.

The last three pages are the Federal subsistence harvests from the Glennallen subdistrict, the Chitina subdistrict and the Batzulnetas area and those go back to 2002. He's got both the reported harvest and then he's expanded them to try to estimate the harvest that we didn't see from people who haven't sent back in their harvest reports.

That's what I have for Wrangell-St. Elias. I have a Park Service Alaska-wide report to give you if there's no questions about -- like I said, I went through that fairly quickly, recognizing it's -- we're trying to get done.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: We're not trying to rush you, Barbara. If anyone has any questions, feel free to ask them. I just see the fish are down in 2016 here in the Copper River. They're down to 14,000 or something. Anyway.

MS. CELLARIUS: The reported harvest, yeah, it is lower than it was last year. If you look at the percentage of permits reports, it's lower than previous years. The difference with the expanded data isn't quite as great. The water was really high late in the season. There's other people in the room who probably can speak better to managing fishwheels, but

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

the fishwheel that I was on got pulled because people were worried that the wheels were going to get taken away by the really high water. I think people have fished longer, but the high water made it hard to fish.

So if there are no questions about what we're doing at Wrangell-St. Elias, I'll just report to you that on January 12th the Park Service published final regulations to allow Federal subsistence users in Alaska to collect and use nonedible animal parts and plants for making and selling handicrafts. The idea for this regulation came from several subsistence resource commissions and there was also a letter from the Eastern Interior Regional Advisory Council.

2.2

So the rule allows -- new regulation allows Federally qualified subsistence users to collect and use nonedible animal parts and plant materials for the creation and then use or sale of handicrafts in accordance with ANILCA. Written authorization from the superintendent is required for the collection of animal parts. The superintendent may also establish limits or conditions.

 The regulation that was published included two restrictions not specifically related to subsistence collections. It limits the types of bait that may be used for taking of bears under Federal subsistence regulations to native fish or wildlife remains that exist from natural mortality or remains that are not required to be salvaged.

Based on public comment, the rule was modified to allow the Superintendent of Wrangell-St. Elias to issue a permit to allow the use of human-produced foods upon a determination that such use is compatible with Park purposes and values and the applicant doesn't have reasonable access to other natural materials that could be used for bait.

So that's the first provision that isn't related to subsistence collections. The second provision clarifies that collecting live wildlife is not an authorized hunting or trapping practice and generally not allowed. This clarification was necessary based on requests from the public to collect falcon chicks in National Preserves.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

According to a notice that was

published in today's Federal Register, the effective date of this regulatory package has been delayed to March 21, 2017.

So I wanted to let you know about that. You should have a copy of both the news release and the regulation itself.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Judy.

 MS. CAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Barbara, in our past discussions on the collections part there was going to be a permit or there were three or four options. Where did you land on that?

2.2

MS. CELLARIUS: So for the collection of animal parts -- when I say collection of animal parts, for a long time we called this thing horns and antler, so you can pick up shed or discarded horns or antler, sheep horns, caribou antler, moose antlers. For the collection of animal parts you have to get a written authorization from the Superintendent. That can be an individual permit.

What Wrangell-St. Elias is considering is we will have a blanket authorization that applies to everyone who lives in our resident zone and is qualified. There's some eligibility. Essentially if you can hunt an animal in a particular game management unit, you can collect the parts of that animal in that location.

For plants, the final rule was modified, so with the proposed rule we were proposing a written authorization also being required for plants, but that has been dropped. So the written authorization isn't required for plants.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Ricky.

MR. GEASE: I actually commented on this one. I just want to clarify. Human-produced food, would that include dog food?

 MS. CELLARIUS: Yes. The sentence I didn't read you is that some of the items that would not be able to be used are dog food, grease, bread, marshmallows.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

```
Page 125
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any other
 2
     questions.
 3
 4
                     (No comments)
 5
 6
                     MS. CELLARIUS:
                                      Thank you.
 7
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
                                           Thank you,
 8
 9
     Barbara.
10
                     MS. CELLARIUS:
                                     Thank you.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
13
                                           BLM.
14
                     MR. HANKINS: Mr. Chairman, Council
15
     Members. My name is Jesse Hankins with the BLM out of
16
17
     Glennallen. Just a short report for you. I spoke
     previously at our earlier meeting on Federal
18
     subsistence of moose and caribou harvest in GMU 13.
19
     just want to emphasize that we're trending upward year
20
     after year more and more subsistence users coming in
21
     and getting these permits from us. Harvest is going up
2.2
     as well. You can see we issued our most permits this
23
     past year 1,384 people coming through the door to get
24
25
     moose permits in GMU 13.
26
27
                     If you flip it over to the next page,
28
     we issued 3,156 caribou permits to date. That season
     is still ongoing and will end on March 31st. Real
29
     simple and quick on that report.
30
31
32
                     I did want to speak to the special
     action request.
                      There were some questions earlier
33
     today about the Delegation of Authority. Maybe clarify
34
35
     for Gloria that our in-season manager was issued a
     Delegation of Authority under that special action
36
     request to open up up to 10 days of a caribou season
37
38
     FC-1-16 special action request. It was in response to
39
     a lack of harvest for that Nelchina Caribou Herd in GMU
     13.
40
41
                     Prior to that season ending, less than
42
     100 caribou had been harvested, which is quite a lot
43
44
     number. So BLM initiated a conversation and I believe
     Dan Sharp forwarded that message on that BLM would like
45
46
     to initiate a special action request. That was
47
     actually handled in a very timely manner. Less than 10
     business days that was all approved and went through.
48
49
50
```

Phone: 907-243-0668

SOUTHCENTRAL REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL 2/13/2017 Page 126 In consultation or at least in visiting with the Eastern Interior RAC and Southcentral RAC, the 2 field manager did in fact open up that season for six 3 additional days. I think around 222 caribou were 4 harvested in those six days. That was a one-time 5 special action request for Delegation of Authority for 6 7 just the 2016 season. 8 9 Maybe that clarified that. If you have any questions, I'd be happy to answer any that you 10 might have. 11 12 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: 13 Questions. 14 15 (No comments) 16 17 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Jesse. 18 Very good. 19 20 MR. HANKINS: Thank you. 21 2.2 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Alaska Department 23 of Fish and Game. 24 MS. KLEIN: Good afternoon, Mr. 25 Chairman. This is Jill Klein for Alaska Department of 26 Fish and Game. I've been listening in to the meeting 27 today and I don't have any specific reports for your 28 Council that were requested. If there is anything that 29 any of you would like to share now or request for 30 future meetings, we're happy to provide updates. 31 32 33 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Sounds really good. You obviously have no problem with us. We're 34 35 doing pretty good. 36 37 (Laughter) 38 39 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you. 40 41 MS. KLEIN: Thank you. 42 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: OSM is going to 43 44 do the revisions to the draft MOU on the State of

Alaska then?

45 46 47

MR. JOHNSON: Yes.

48 49

MS. CAMINER: Jesse has one more thing

to say.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: He's got one more thing he missed. Sorry, Carl.

MR. HANKINS: Again, Jesse Hankins. In my attempt to be the fastest report, I failed to mention that there's a special Board of Game meeting in Glennallen March 18th through the 20th addressing Copper River Basin issues as it relates specifically to moose and caribou harvest. They have 43 proposals in front of them right now. It is moose and caribou centric and it's anywhere from getting rid of the community hunt to liberating bag limits. It's all over the board. Again, March 18th through the 20th Board of Game meeting in Glennallen.

2.2

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you. All right, Carl.

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Members of the Council. Carl Johnson. Just kind of a quick summary of what was just handed out to you, the OSM report. We always like to start with our staffing updates.

We're pleased to announce three new hires since your last meeting. First of all we have a new admin assistant who is in our Wildlife Division. Her name is Hilary Krieger. She's sitting in the back. Raise your hand, Hilary.

We also have a new subsistence outreach coordinator, Karen McKee. Then he hasn't started yet, but he'll be starting soon, our new Deputy Assistant Regional Director and that's Tom Doolittle. You can look at their backgrounds in more detail on your OSM report.

Unfortunately, I also have to announce the departure of our subsistence policy coordinator Amee Howard. She got that nonrural policy wrapped up with the Board and then hit the door running. No, she's going to be upstair or is upstairs now with External Affairs as their new congressional State liaison for Regional Fish and Wildlife Service.

There was a hiring freeze that was implemented and it's still in effect for Federal

agencies, but we have been given limited authority to hire non-competitively, so reassignments internally within the agencies. So I suspect that we'll be looking to fill that policy coordinator position from within Fish and Wildlife Service.

Just a couple of overviews for you. The nonrural determination policy was adopted by the Board at its January meeting. The Board made one revision to the policy that you saw at your fall meeting cycle. At that briefing you were told that the policy would contemplate that for every fishery cycle there would also be a call for rural or nonrural policy or proposals.

The Board modified that to make it every other fishery cycle. So instead of every two years it will be every four years that the opportunity will come up to submit proposals. The first opportunity under the new policy will be the fisheries cycle next year.

Now for the Memorandum of Understanding between the State and Federal Subsistence Board. A quick overview again. The State has provided its comments, it's gone before the Regional Advisory Councils, it's gone before the State Fish and Game Advisory Committees.

 So right now the working group of staff from both the State and Federal government, OSM, Interagency Staff Committee members have been meeting. They met again just this last Friday to iron out the various different input from the different sources and they are still working on that. There are still meetings ongoing.

So it's unsure at this point in time when it will go before the Board again with those revisions, but we'll keep you up to date on how that progresses.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead, Judy.

MS. CAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Carl, even if we don't get a chance to meet and discuss it, could we get a copy of what would be going in front of the Board and could it be annotated in terms of changes?

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

MR. JOHNSON: I will check on that. I mean if, for example, there were little revisions that could conceivably go to the Board at its July work session, so we would certainly inform you of what those changes are. It's hard to say at this point the extent of which any modifications would be made, how severe or how minor they are. That will really kind of drive the timing of when it goes before the Board again or if it might even come before the Councils again for a second look if it's significantly modified.

MS. CAMINER: Right. I mean the challenge we had last time is right at the end of the meeting, here, here's whatever it is, 10, 15-page document, what do you think. It's just so much easier to make comments if you know how is it different from last time you saw it or some folks here who have never seen it before. So more for our information or at the Board meeting the Council Chair might want to make some comments. It would just be easier for us to analyze that way.

MR. JOHNSON: I will definitely make a request that if it's possible to have kind of a redline change that shows the additions or revisions to see if that could be made available, but I will inquire.

MS. CAMINER: Okay.

MR. JOHNSON: The last item on the OSM report relates to the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. The call for funding proposals went out in November and the close for those proposals is February 20th, so a week from today. Between February and May to Technical Review Committee will be getting together and they'll be applying the different guidance that they have for how to grade and rank those proposals.

 Your opportunity to see those proposals and their rankings by the Technical Review Committee will be at your fall meeting. You will be given an opportunity at that time to provide comments on the ranked proposals. After that the Interagency Staff Committee will be doing the same before it goes before the Federal Subsistence Board at their special meeting in January next year just on the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Judy's got a

question. Go ahead.

MS. CAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Ricky and Gloria and I were on the subcommittee, as you know, over last summer to try to set criteria for what we thought the priority information needs were for this Council. The last cycle we did have a lot of proposals submitted but none of them were approved because they were too expensive or too lavish or out of scope.

So I hope if the Technical Review Committee or Staff see that some of these proposals either need a little bit of help -- I hope that now fisheries is more staffed up that the proposers can be worked with so that hopefully we can get some funding for our region next time around.

2.2

MR. JOHNSON: Through the Chair. I do know that in recent years projects that have not been funded have been given feedback on how they can improve their proposal next time around. In fact there was one for the Bristol Bay Region that failed its first attempt and when they resubmitted based on feedback from the Staff they were actually successful in getting funded.

I do not believe there is currently a mechanism in place where there's kind of a preliminary review and feedback on proposals and then they're given a second chance to submit it based on that feedback. They have to submit the proposal, it's ranked, it's graded, but they are given feedback after that explains why it was not successful and they can take that information and try again next time.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Rick.

 MR. GEASE: Do you have any idea -- one of the expensive research projects was the Eyak Tribe monitoring chinook on the Copper River there. We talked this summer. The issue was trying to find some match funding for that because I think they were only going to allow it to 50 percent funding for that. Do you have any idea if there been any match funding found or a progress report on finding that match money.

MR. JOHNSON: Through the Chair. I have no idea, but Donald, I'm sure, can check into that and get an answer for that sometime after this meeting.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Very good.

 MR. JOHNSON: Now I do have one addition that's not in your report, but just a follow up on earlier discussion. I did get an email from Jennifer Hardin. She has researched and has created a single-page document that summarizes the fisheries Delegations of Authority for the Southcentral Region. So Donald has his email and he'll forward it out to all of you, but I'll just quickly highlight.

There are currently three active Delegations of Authority for this region. Cook Inlet area, all fish in Federal waters, and the delegated authority is the Kenai Fish and Wildlife Conservation Office. The initial year for that was 2001 and it was renewed a few times and the final letter that's currently the one still on the books was in 2004.

2.2

The next one is Prince William Sound area for freshwater fish salmon, eulachon in Federal waters of Prince William Sound except for the Copper River drainage. That's the Forest Service, Cordova Ranger District. Similar years for the previous one.

Lastly, the Copper River drainage within the Prince William Sound area. That's for again all freshwater fish, salmon, eulachon and the Federal waters of Copper River drainage. This is delegated to the Wrangell-St. Elias National Park and Preserve. That has the same years of original and most recent delegation is the other two letters.

You'll get this via email and you can see more of the detail in there.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Appreciate that.} That's good info. Anything else, Carl.$ 

MR. JOHNSON: That's it for OSM at this time. Thank you, Mr. Chair and Members of the Council.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you. Okay. Future meeting dates. We need to confirm the fall date 2017 date and location, Page 44. A little bit of discussion on this at lunch with Michael down there and Seldovia. I'm not sure if we're going to make it into Seldovia, but we could discuss the possibility if Homer would work and save on the budget. What does the

Page 132 Council think of that? 2 MR. CARPENTER: Probably a lot cheaper 3 4 to get everybody there. 5 6 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: That was just a suggestion. I'm just throwing it out there if that 7 works. Donald, that could be a backup plan? Okay. 8 9 MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair. I guess the 10 Council agrees on Homer and Seldovia as a backup plan. 11 I mean we can do that. It's up to the Council. 12 13 14 Thank you. 15 16 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I'm thinking I'm 17 hearing it's going to work for everyone. I haven't heard any objections, so that kind of unanimous. 18 19 (No opposing comments) 20 21 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: That is on the 2.2 schedule here for November 6th and 7th. Earlier we 23 mentioned October. That's November. Is that correct, 24 25 Donald? 26 27 MR. MIKE: That's correct, November 6th 28 and 7th, yeah. 29 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. 30 there's a race November 4th on the East Coast. 31 32 throwing that out. You might not make it. If it's unanimous, we're going to stick with November 6th and 33 7th and it will either be in Homer or possibly Seldovia 34 35 if they could budget it. Okay. No objections? 36 37 (No objections) 38 39 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you. 40 Next order of business. 41 42 MS. CAMINER: Mr. Chair. 43 suggestions. One maybe not starting the meetings on a 44 Monday because everybody has to travel to Anchorage. 45 Just so maybe you wouldn't have to travel on Sunday and 46 maybe in Andy's case even Saturday. That's a thought 47 to start the meeting later on in the week. 48 49 50

Phone: 907-243-0668

Page 133 Secondly, like we were talking before and I don't know whether the Ahtna AC will be set up by 2 then, but I wonder if we would want to consider having 3 a meeting in March sometime rather than February. 4 5 6 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. We're open 7 for debate. Judy made a couple suggestions there. Are there other comments on 8 the winter meeting. Usually it's in Anchorage, 9 correct? 10 11 12 MS. CAMINER: Yes. 13 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: 14 Anchorage. We usually try to get it in February or 15 16 March. 17 18 MR. GEASE: Can I suggest the 6th and 7th of March? 19 20 21 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yes, you can. That's probably not a bad suggestion. Does that work 2.2 for you? 23 24 25 MS. CAMINER: I think that works for 26 me. 27 28 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Does that work for everyone else? 29 30 (Council nods affirmatively) 31 32 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. March 6th 33 I think that's before spring break, so 34 and 7th it is. 35 that's probably not a bad idea. Donald, will that work to put us on the schedule then? 36 37 38 MR. MIKE: Yes, that will work. Just a 39 meeting place. 40 41 MS. CAMINER: In Anchorage. 42 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: 43 In Anchorage. 44 And this is a pretty good accommodation for people. I've had comments, feedback that the general public 45 here and staff and everyone, they've got tables to work 46 47 on. We've got wifi. We've coming up in the world. it works. I'm just throwing it out there. It's a 48 pretty good location. 49 50

Phone: 907-243-0668

Page 134 MS. CAMINER: This was a beautiful 2 spot. 3 4 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yes, very nice. 5 Okay. So we're ready for closing comments. Where do we want to start. Ricky, would you like to start with 6 7 closing comments. 8 9 MR. GEASE: I'd just thank the local host here for accepting us for our meeting here. It's 10 very gracious. They have a beautiful facility here. 11 It's been a great time having the meeting here. 12 13 14 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you. 15 16 MR. OPHEIM: Great meeting. I like 17 seeing the diversity we have on the board now. Hopefully that will continue. 18 19 MR. CARPENTER: Just a couple comments. 20 I know at one time -- it's been a long time ago we had 21 the opportunity to create a Kenai Peninsula RAC. 2.2 know funding back then was much more substantial 23 24 through OSM than it is now. My vision is that 25 75 percent or more of the proposals on both sides that come forward from now on are going to be based on the 26 Kenai Peninsula. 27 28 29 I would hope that some consideration sometime in the future, depending on funding, that my 30 personal feeling is that this RAC needs to be split 31 32 into two. I think it's more fair for the multitudes of people and user groups on the Kenai Peninsula to come 33 to resolutions which a lot of us that live elsewhere in 34 35 this region don't really understand. 36 So that's all I have. 37 38 39 MS. STICKWAN: I'll just say it was a good meeting. I hope to be here next time. 40 41 42 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, I'll go ahead and reserve myself for the end. 43 Gloria. 44 45 MS. CAMINER: Very good. Thank you, 46 Mr. Chair. Thanks, everybody. Welcome to the new 47 members. We'll just say that this was a pretty mellow and smooth meeting. Usually we are much more 48

challenged, but this was a great way for you to start

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

Page 135 and I think you'll enjoy some of the upcoming topics. We are a very large region, as you know, obviously. 2 All the way from Cordova all the way to Cantwell and 3 down to Seldovia. 4 5 6 Tom, I know you might not be from the Kenai, but you always have some valuable insights to 7 add as someone who is really experienced not only in 8 9 the program but obviously in hunting and fishing. Personally, like you say, I like the diversity of who 10 is on the RAC. 11 12 13 Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: 15 Andy. 16 17 MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Thanks. Good meeting. Nice to see the new faces. I really appreciated the 18 Ahtna report on this council coming up and I'm looking 19 forward to that. 20 21 MR. HOLSTEN: This was my first meeting 2.2 23 and I'm glad to 24 hear it was an easy meeting. Even though I missed the training session for new Council members, I'm going to 25 be showing up tomorrow to kind of be brought up to 26 speed. I appreciate meeting everybody here and looking 27 28 forward to working with you all. 29 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Eleanor. 30 31 32 MS. DEMENTI: Well, I'm learning a lot. My second meeting. Thank you. 33 34 35 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Dan. 36 MR. STEVENS: I appreciate everybody 37 38 coming and the information you guys gave us and took 39 the time to give us. I thank you guys for putting me on this board. It's going to give me a little more 40 knowledge of what I'm doing. For a meeting, this was a 41 pretty short one that I've been to. 42 43 44 Thank you. 45 46 (Laughter) 47 48 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. I'd just

49

50

make a couple comments first of all.

Page 136 MR. GEASE: We have a Council member on 2 the phone. 3 4 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: The Council Ricky is trying to confuse me because 5 member online. he's sitting in your chair. 6 7 (Laughter) 8 9 10 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Diane Selanoff, would you like to make a comment. 11 12 13 MS. SELANOFF: Yes. I'd like to thank you guys for the training we had previously. I'd 14 appreciate a follow up with the documents that were 15 made available today that weren't in the meeting 16 17 packet. I'd request additional items like the actions of the Delegation of Authority from Fisheries. 18 19 I also want to congratulate the new 20 Vice Chair and the Secretary. I believe that was 21 Judith and Gloria. Thank you. It's been a good 2.2 meeting. I learned a lot and look forward to working 23 24 with the board. 25 I apologize for not making it in, but 26 the weather looks like it is finally improving. 27 28 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. I guess 29 30 you can come for tomorrow. 31 32 (Laughter) 33 34 MS. SELANOFF: I'm fine. Thank you. 35 Very good meeting. I appreciate how quickly everything moved along and very organized. Again, thank you. 36 37 38 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, thank you, 39 Diane. It's not always quick and organized, but I thank you. 40 41 Just a couple comments. Tom, before I 42 take on the Kenai RAC issue, I think that you've 43 contributed greatly to both sides and it's been very 44 tumultuous. A lot of the issues, yes, are on the Kenai 45 46 as I see. I've been on the RAC quite a while, but I just want to bring attention. I think we've made a lot 47 of ground. I know a lot of the Ninilchik issues that 48 were from the Kenai were 15 years in the making that 49

Phone: 907-243-0668

Fax: 907-243-1473

are coming to resolution and a lot of the subsistence stuff is as we unite and work together and define and we do stick to the subsistence. I think we can make large gains.

I want to thank the host and all of the things here. And Donald, an excellent job you're doing. I still don't think you ought to be leaving us, but that's whatever. I welcome your new replacement for coordinator for us.

There's one question I had for you, Carl. I guess there's supposed to be an all-RAC Chairs meeting or conference. Is that coming around?

2.2

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. In all reality, that would have needed to occur before the beginning of the meeting cycle because now that we're into this we really can't work on anything else until it's done. Now if there's still interest from the Chairs, I'll put it out to the coordinators to see if the Chairs are still interested and we could still do that telephonically to address some of those issue and keep talking about them.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Carl. Okay. With that said, I sure welcome the new members. Ed, look forward to working with you.

say.

MS. STICKWAN: Ricky had something to

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Ricky is going to have to wait until I'm finished.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Anyway. You're trying to get me in the middle of my sentence. Anything else here on the new members. Diane, I haven't got to meet you yet. Look forward to meeting you.

Ricky, I'm sorry, but I was just trying to finish up here. You had a comment, go ahead.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$  GEASE: I was just curious. It was a successful all-RAC meeting. I was just curious of that is on the calendar for the future. I think the

RACs had positive feedback for that. Maybe every four or six years.

2 3 4

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: That's correct. Carl, you're working on that, I know, because you agreed to it.

6 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

5

MR. JOHNSON: Yes, Mr. Chair. It certainly -- that was one of the reasons why I had it on your fall agenda for all the Councils to give feedback on that. Obviously it would be a periodic thing. I think kind of a logical time to do it is during the beginning of whatever fisheries cycle is. So maybe another five years or so that would be good and I already have some ideas on how to change the format based on the feedback received from the various Councils.

17 18 19

20

21

2.2

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Very good. Thank you, Carl. I know the last one I attended, not to dig into it, but the Delegation of Authorities was brought up from quite a few other RACs too. I'd like to see the works on that. That's good.

232425

26

27

28 29

30

31 32

33

34 35

36

37 38

MR. JOHNSON: I'll add to that, Mr. Chair. The new member training that Ed referred to came out of that all-Council meeting. Hearing from the various Council members who had been in the program for some time who expressed strong appreciation for some of the -- even the programmatic issues that previously you just get kind of a two-hour cursory refresher training every year at your winter meeting. To hear that feedback generated the idea of having a dedicated twoday training session for newly appointed Council members to come into OSM and have training from all the expert staff at OSM on the various different issues and including the opportunity to hear from our Solicitor what Title VIII means from a Solicitor's perspective. So that did come out of that all-Council meeting.

39 40 41

42

43

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you. I just want to thank all the Staff and all the people that reported. Excellent job and good work. Continue it.

44 45 46

I will entertain a motion to adjourn.

47 48

MS. CAMINER: So moved.

```
Page 139
 1
                       CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: It was moved by
 2
     Judy and it was seconded by half the Council.
 3
                       (Laughter)
 4
 5
 6
                       CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: So all in favor.
 7
     We stand adjourned. Thank you.
 8
                       (Off record)
 9
10
                         (END OF PROCEEDINGS)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

Phone: 907-243-0668

```
Page 140
                      CERTIFICATE
 2
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
 4
                                      )ss.
 5
     STATE OF ALASKA
                                      )
 6
 7
             I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the
     state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Court
 8
 9
     Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify:
10
             THAT the foregoing pages numbered 02 through
11
     140 contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the
12
13
     SOUTHCENTRAL FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY
14
     COUNCIL MEETING taken electronically on the 13th day of
     February at Anchorage, Alaska;
15
16
17
                     THAT the transcript is a true and
     correct transcript requested to be transcribed and
18
     thereafter transcribed by under my direction and
19
     reduced to print to the best of our knowledge and
20
     ability;
21
2.2
23
                     THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or
24
     party interested in any way in this action.
25
                     DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 24th
26
27
     day of February 2017.
28
29
30
                     Salena A. Hile
31
32
                     Notary Public, State of Alaska
                     My Commission Expires: 09/16/18
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

Phone: 907-243-0668